

Physical Review & Research International 3(4): 293-305, 2013



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Finite Element Method for Calculating Closest Approach of Hard Spherocylinder Fluids and the Study of Their Direct Correlation Function

Tooraj Ghaffary^{1*}, Mohammad Mehdi Gharahbeigi², Fatemeh Farmanesh³ and Leila Shahmandi Hounejani²

¹Department of Physics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran. ²Young Researchers club, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran. ³Department of Mathematics, Sepidan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sepidan, Iran.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author TG designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author MMG managed the analyses and designed the numeirical analysis of the study and correcation after review. Authors FF and LSH designed the mathematical of the study and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Research Article

Received 24th November 2012 Accepted 3rd January 2013 Published 8th May 2013

ABSTRACT

We study the direct correlation function (DCF) of a classical fluid of non-spherical molecules. The components of the fluid are hard spherocylinder (SC) molecules. The required homogeneous DCF is obtained by solving Orenstein-Zernike (OZ) integral equation numerically, using the Percus-Yevich (PY) approximation and the procedure proposed by Ram and co-workers. We also obtained the closest approach between two spherocylinders by using two different methods: first, extending the algorithm proposed by Vega and Lago by introducing a new geometry and second, use the finite element procedure. Results are in agreement in two methods. The calculation is performed for various values of packing fractions of the fluid and for the aspect ratios L/D=5.0,10.0. The coefficient expansions of DCF are obtained. The results are in agreement with the other recent works.

Keywords: Molecular fluid; direct correlation function; hard spherocylinder.

*Corresponding author: Email: tooraj.gh@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem is the prediction of the physical properties of simple and multi-component molecular fluids based on the information about molecular shapes and intermolecular interactions. Considerable progress has been made over the last two decades in understanding the behavior of these fluids at the molecular level by considering simplified models.

For these fluids we can consider models in which molecules are treated as hard particles with a given shape [1]. The main reason to study systems of hard convex bodies is that they provide us with simple reference systems which are used to investigate more realistic systems such as liquid crystals [2].

Among simple geometric forms, spherocylinder seems to approximate best the shape of the most nematgenic molecules. For this reason, a fluid of rigid SC is a useful model for investigating the fundamental nature of the nematic-isotropic phase transition in liquid crystals.

Recently, there have been many investigations on the structural properties of interface and confined molecular fluids using the liquid state such as integral equation [3,4], density functional theory [5-8] and computer simulations [9-12]. Since the integral equation theory has been found to be quite successful in describing molecular fluids, this theory is used to study this kind of fluids.

The direct correlation function (DCF) plays an important role in describing thermodynamical properties and the structure of simple fluids[13-15], molecular fluids [16-18] and multicomponent ones [19-22]. The DCF can be used to calculate the equation of state [23-25], free energy [26], phase transition [27,28], elastic constants [29-33], etc.

In our work, we consider a system composed of hard SC particles and obtain the DCF of this system. We use the Ornstein-Zernike (OZ) integral equation [34] by the Percus-Yevick (PY) approximation [35] to study this system.

This article is organized as follows: in Sec.2, we introduce the SC model and explain how to find the DCF of this model by OZ equation, in Sec.3 the methods of calculating the closest approach between SC molecules are described. In Sec.4, we report and discuss the results and finally in Sec.5 our conclusions are summarized.

2. DCF OF SC

DCF of spherical fluids is only dependent on distance between the centers of the molecules. In non spherical fluids, in addition to the distance, the orientation of the molecules is also effective. For solving this problem, expansion of the DCF according to spherical harmonics is suggested [4]. Because the spherical harmonics include polar and azimuthal angles, that are useful tools to describe the orientation axis of each molecule.

In the SC model, system formed by cylinders of length L and diameter D terminating in hemispheres at the two bases. These spherocylinders interact according to the potential:

Physical Review & Research International, 3(4): 293-305, 2013

$$u(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) = \begin{cases} \infty & \sigma(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) < D \\ 0 & \sigma(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) > D \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\Omega_i = (\theta_i, \varphi_i)$ is describes the orientation of particle i and \vec{r} is a vector along the line connecting the centers of the two particles and $\sigma(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2)$ is the closest approach between the segments constituting the axis of the cylinder.

The DCF of fluid with non-spherical molecules can be defined through the OZ equation [28]

$$h(r_{1},\Omega_{1},r_{2},\Omega_{2}) = c(r_{1},\Omega_{1},r_{2},\Omega_{2}) + \frac{\rho}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_{3} \int dr_{3}c(r_{1},\Omega_{1},r_{3},\Omega_{3})h(r_{3},\Omega_{3},r_{2},\Omega_{2})$$
(2)

where r_i is the position of the center of mass and ρ shows the number density of the molecules. The symbols h and c are the well-known total and direct correlation functions. To solve OZ equation, we first introduce the expansion of DCF. There are two common choices for this expansion. In one expansion, the molecular orientations are referred to an intermolecular reference frame in which the polar axis is along the intermolecular vector \vec{r}_{l2} , body frame (BF). In the other one, the molecular orientations are defined in a space-fixed or laboratory frame (LF) of reference. We expand the angular dependence of the correlation functions, h or c, using orthogonal basis set of harmonics.

Expansions in BF is

$$c(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_{1}, \hat{\Omega}_{2}) = \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}, m} c(l_{1}, l_{2}, m; r) Y_{l_{1}}^{m}(\hat{\Omega}_{1}) Y_{l_{2}}^{-m}(\hat{\Omega}_{2})$$
(3)

and in LF can be defined by

$$c(\vec{r},\hat{\Omega}_1,\hat{\Omega}_2) = \sum_{l_1,l_2,l} c(l_1,l_2,l;r) \phi_{l_1,l_2,l}(\hat{\Omega}_1,\hat{\Omega}_2,\hat{\Omega}_r).$$
(4)

Where $\hat{\Omega}_r$ is the unit vector along \vec{r} , $c(l_1, l_2, m; r)$ and $c(l_1, l_2, l; r)$ are the expansion coefficients in body and laboratory frames. $\phi_{l_1, l_2, l}(\hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2, \hat{\Omega}_r)$ is rotational invariant given by

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2,l}(\hat{\Omega}_1,\hat{\Omega}_2,\hat{\Omega}_r) = \sum_{m_1,m_2,m} C(l_1,l_2,l;m_1,m_2,m) Y_{l_1}^{m_1}(\hat{\Omega}_1) Y_{l_2}^{m_2}(\hat{\Omega}_2) Y_l^{m^*}(\hat{\Omega}_r)$$
(5)

Where $C(l_1, l_2, l; m_1m_2m)$ is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient and Y_l^m is the spherical harmonics, and * indicates the complex conjugate. In BF expansion, the z-axis of the coordinate system was chosen along the axis connecting the two molecules that are correlated. Therefore, we only need to deal with one index m. To find the direct correlation function the following procedure is required:

- (1) Guess an initial value for $c(l_1, l_2, m; r)$.
- (2) Calculate the expansion coefficient in LF by using the first step

$$c(l_1, l_2, l; r) = \sum_{m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} c(l_1, l_2, m; r) C(l_1, l_2, l; m, -m, 0)$$
(6)

(3) Use Fourier-Hankel transformation to obtain the coefficients in the Fourier space

$$c(l_1, l_2, l; k) = 4\pi (-i)^l \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_1(kr) c(l_1, l_2, l; r)$$
(7)

(4) The coefficients $c(l_1, l_2, l; k)$ are used to obtain

$$c(l_1, l_2, m; k) = \sum_{l} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} c(l_1, l_2, l; k) C(l_1, l_2, l; m, -m, 0)$$
(8)

These three equations transform a two particle correlation function from r frame in real space into a function in k space; within the complete set of the spherical harmonics we can transform the OZ equation as

$$h(l_1, l_2, m; k) = c(l_1, l_2, m; k) + \frac{\rho}{4\pi} \sum_{l} c(l_1, l, m; k) h(l, l_2, m; k)$$
(9)

This can be written in matrix form for each m and k value

where $\underline{c}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\underline{h}_{\underline{a}}$ are symmetry matrices with indices I_1 and I_2 .

Here we introduce an auxiliary function $\underbrace{y(m;k)}_{=}$

$$y(m;k) = h(m;k) - c(m;k)$$
(11)

(5) The OZ equation is written as

$$\underbrace{y(m;k)}_{=} = \frac{\rho}{4\pi} \left[1 - \frac{\rho}{4\pi} \underbrace{c(m;k)}_{=} \right]^{-1} \underbrace{\left[\underline{c}(m;k) \right]^2}$$
(12)

and it is solved for $y(l_1, l_2, m; k)$.

296

(6) Converting $y(l_1, l_2, m; k)$ to $y(l_1, l_2, l; k)$ yields

$$y(l_1, l_2, l; k) = \sum_{m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} y(l_1, l_2, m; k) C(l_1, l_2, l; m, -m, 0)$$
(13)

(7) By using the inverse Fourier-Hankel transform we can get $y(l_1, l_2, l; r)$

$$y(l_1, l_2, l; r) = \frac{i^l}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 j_1(kr) y(l_1, l_2, l; k)$$
(14)

(8) These expansions are used to obtain $y(l_1, l_2, m; r)$

$$y(l_1, l_2, m; r) = \sum_{l} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} y(l_1, l_2, l; r) C(l_1, l_2, l; m, -m, 0)$$
(15)

(9) To solve the OZ equation, we require an appropriate closure relation. Here we apply the PY closure relation [29]

$$c(1,2) = f(1,2)[y(1,2)+1]$$
(16)

where f(1,2) is the Mayer function and is defined by

$$f(1,2) = \exp(-\beta u(1,2)) - 1$$
(17)

and for the pair potential energy of interaction between particles, which defined in Eq.(1) is

$$f(1,2) = f(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) = \begin{cases} -1 & \sigma(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) < D \\ 0 & \sigma(\vec{r}, \hat{\Omega}_1, \hat{\Omega}_2) > D \end{cases}$$
(18)

(10) We can determine $c(l_1, l_2, m; r)$ with the help of the PY equation

$$c(l_{1},l_{2},m;r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l_{1}'l_{2}'} \sqrt{\frac{(2l_{1}'+1)(2l_{2}'+1)(2l_{1}''+1)(2l_{2}''+1)}{(2l_{1}+1)(2l_{2}+1)}}$$

$$\times C(l_{1}',l_{1}'',l_{1};0,0,0)C(l_{2}',l_{2}'',l_{2};0,0,0)\sum_{m'm'} C(l_{1}',l_{1}'',l_{1};m',m'',m)$$

$$\times C(l_{2}',l_{2}'',l_{2};-m',-m'',-m)f(l_{1}',l_{2}',m';r)\left[y(l_{1}'',l_{2}'',m'';r)+4\pi\delta_{000}^{l_{1}''l_{2}''m''}\right]$$
(19)

Where $f(l_1, l_2, m; r)$ is the Mayer expansion coefficient and given by

$$f(l_1, l_2, m; r) = \int d\widehat{\Omega}_1 \int d\widehat{\Omega}_2 f(r, \widehat{\Omega}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_2) Y_{l_1}^m(\widehat{\Omega}_1) Y_{l_2}^{m^*}(\widehat{\Omega}_2)$$
(20)

With these new values of $c(l_1, l_2, m; r)$, we return to step (2) and repeat the next steps again. This iteration is continued until a required convergency is achieved. By using these coefficients, we can obtain the DCF of SC fluid.

3. CLOSEST APPROACH BETWEEN HARD SC MOLECULES

In molecular liquid theory calculating the closest approach between two molecules is very important. In order to calculate the DCF of a fluid it is necessary to calculate the closet approach between the molecules. Because of the significant we calculate the closest approach of two SCs by using two different methods and analyze them in comparison.

In the first method we use a fast algorithm proposed by Vega and Lago [36]. They actually applied their method for calculating the closest approach between two rods. We extend this procedure to find the closest approach between two SCs. The closest approach between two SCs can be calculated by subtracting the half of the diameter of each SC from the shortest distance between rods obtained by the method mentioned.

In the second method we use the finite element procedure to find the closest approach. In this method, the main axis of the SCs (cylinders axis) are divided into equal parts.

With having orientation (Ω_I) , diameter, length of SC and \vec{r}_{I2} the vector that connects the centers of SCs (Fig. 1), the coordinate of the begin and end of the main axis of the cylinder can be determined and given by

$$\vec{A} = \vec{r}_{12} + \frac{L_1}{2}\hat{\Omega}_1 \quad ; \vec{B} = \vec{r}_{12} - \frac{L_1}{2}\hat{\Omega}_1 \tag{21}$$

where $(\widehat{arOmega}_{l})$ and $ec{r}_{l^{2}}$ are

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{1} = \sin\theta_{1}\cos\varphi_{1}\widehat{i} + \sin\theta_{1}\sin\varphi_{1}\widehat{j} + \cos\theta_{1}\widehat{k}$$
(22)

$$\vec{r}_{12} = r\left(\sin\theta\cos\phi\hat{i} + \sin\theta\sin\phi\hat{j} + \cos\theta\hat{k}\right)$$
(23)

To divide this segment (axis of cylinder) into n equal parts from the following equation is used

$$\vec{F}_{i} = \frac{(i-1)\vec{B} + (n-i+1)\vec{A}}{n}$$
(24)

where \vec{F}_i is the coordinate of the ith node.

Physical Review & Research International, 3(4): 293-305, 2013



Fig. 1. Coordinates and orientation of two SCs

The main axis of the other cylinder is divided into m equal parts similarly. Now, the distances between the pairs of points listed from each cylinder which is the combination of 2 from m+n are calculated. The minimum value from the calculated distances is chosen. Finally, we subtract this value from the half diameter of the each SC that value is the closest approach between SCs.

$$\sigma = \left[m \, \ln\left(\sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}\right) \right] - D \tag{25}$$

These methods are more general and can also be used for the mixtures (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. Mixtures of SCs molecules

After all the studies, all in all, we found out that the finite element approach is both fast and easier to use with an adoptable completely appropriate error.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We solve the OZ integral equation numerically to find the DCF of hard SC fluid. For calculating the DCF of the fluid, it is required to calculate the closet approach between the molecules, therefore, we calculate the closest approach of two SCs by using two different methods which described. According to the results shown in the Table 1, for the aspect ratio, L/D=5.0, these methods are in good agreement. Here L and D are the length and diameter of SC, respectively. All values are randomly selected.

r	θ	φ	$ heta_{l}$	$arphi_l$	θ_2	$arphi_2$	Vega & Lago Method	Finite Element Method
2.8591	1.2419	5.1896	2.7181	2.6025	2.4872	5.1296	0	0
4.2640	1.3555	1.8970	1.3601	1.5154	1.9937	2.3071	0.2196	0.2177
11.7971	2.5181	1.2959	0.6010	4.5526	3.0588	4.4264	6.5927	6.5851
1.4388	2.3084	3.1228	2.7033	1.6170	0.8722	1.8106	0.1033	0.1016
5.5697	3.0763	2.9350	0.6200	3.2049	0.6594	3.6442	2.9350	2.5141
3.7358	1.3938	5.2771	3.0850	2.5906	1.0920	4.1983	2.2485	2.5141
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.8719	1.5483	6.0874	2.5982	0.8067	2.6460	4.9604	1.2461	1.2437
3.7071	0	0	0.785	1.57	0.785	1.57	0	0

Table 1. Calculated closest approach of SCs with L/D=5.0, the angles are in radian

In Table 1 r, $\Omega_k = (\theta_k, \phi_k)$ and $\Omega = (\theta, \phi)$ are the distance between the centers of SCs, orientation of kth molecule and orientation of the vector which connects the center of SCs respectively.

Now, we can calculate the Mayer function with Eq. (18). The packing fraction of SC can be given by

$$\eta = \rho \left[\frac{4}{3}\pi \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^3\right] + \left[\pi \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^2 L\right]$$
(26)

In Fig. 3, the selected DCF expansion coefficients for packing fraction $\eta = 0.5$ with aspect ratio L/D=5.0 are plotted.



Fig. 3. Selected expansion coefficients of the DCF of hard SCs as a function of the

reduced distance,
$$r^* = \frac{r}{D}$$
, for $\eta = 0.5$

In Fig. 4 the selected DCF expansion coefficients of DCF for packing fraction $\eta = 0.441$ with aspect ratio L/D=5.0 are plotted.



Fig. 4. Selected expansion coefficients of the DCF of hard SCs as a function of the reduced distance, $r^* = \frac{r}{D}$, for $\eta = 0.441$

In the following, the expansion coefficients are compared with computer simulation [10] in Fig. 5. These coefficients are calculated in LF for aspect ratio L/D=10 and $\eta = 0.1592$. The obtained expansion coefficients of the DCF using the PY integral equation are in good agreement with the coefficients provided by computer simulation.





our results and simulation [10], respectively

5. CONCLUSION

For studying a system containing spherical and non-spherical members knowing the DCF is required. We consider a system composed of hard SC particles and obtain the DCF of this package. We use the integral equation by the PY closure. First, we should define how to calculate closest approach between two molecules and then compare these methods. As

shown in Table 1 these methods are in fairly agreement. With calculating closest approach, the expansion coefficients of DCF are obtained. These coefficients are plotted for different packing fraction and aspect ratio. Finally, we compared the expansion coefficients with computer simulation, which are in good agreement.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Allen MP, Evans GT, Frenkel D, Mulder BM. Hard convex body fluids. Adv. Chem. Phys. 1993;86:1-166.
- 2. Colot JL, Wu XG, Xu H, Baus M. Density-functional, Landau, and Onsager theories of the isotropic-nematic transition of hard ellipsoids. Phy. Rev. A.1988;38:2022-2036.
- 3. Ward DA, Lado F. Structure, thermodynamics, and orientational correlations of the nematogenic hard ellipse fluid from the Percus-Yevick equation. Mol. Phys.1988;63:623-638.
- 4. Hansen JP, McDonald IR. Theory of Simple Liquids: Academic Press, London; 1986.
- 5. Rickayzen G. Phase transitions in molecular fluids. Mol. Phys. 1995;80:1093-1103.
- 6. Calleja M, Rickayzen G. A model for homogeneous and inhomogeneous hard molecular fluids: ellipsoidal fluids. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 1995;7:8839-8856.
- 7. Chrzanowska A, Teixeria PIC, Ehrentraut H, Cleaver DJ. Ordering of hard particles between hard walls. J. Phys.Condens.Matter. 2001;13:4715-4726.
- 8. Velasco E, Mederes L, Sullivan DE. Density-functional study of the nematic-isotropic interface of hard spherocylinders. Phys. Rev. E. 2002;66:021708-021714.
- 9. Allen MP, Frenkel D. Observation of dynamical precursors of the isotropic-nematic transition by computer simulation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1987;58:1748-1750.
- 10. Savenko SV, Marjolein Dijkstra. Asymptotic decay of the pair correlation function in molecular fluids: Application to hard rods. Phys. Rev. E. 2005;72:021202-021212.
- 11. Moradi M, Hashemi S, Taghizadeh F. Monte Carlo simulation of a confined hard ellipse fluids. Physica A : Statistical Mechanics and its Applications. 2010;389:4510-4519.
- 12. Moradi M, Hashemi S. Monte Carlo study of one-dimensional confined fluids with Gay-Berne intermolecular potential. The European Physical Journal B. 2011;84:289-297.
- 13. Henderson D, Chen KY, Degreve L. The direct correlation functions and bridge functions for hard spheres near a large hard sphere. J. Chem. Phys. 1994;101:6975-6978.
- 14. Stelzer J, Longa L, Terbin HR. Molecular dynamics simulations of a Gay-Berne nematic liquid crystal elastic properties from direct correlation functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1995;103:3098-3117.
- 15. Moradi M, Shahri H. Equation of state and freezing of gmsa hard spheres. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B. 2003;17:6057-6065.
- 16. Ram J, Singh RC, Singh Y. Solution of the Percus-Yevick equation for pair-correlation functions of molecular fluids. Phys. Rev. E. 1994;49:5117-5126.
- 17. Letz M, Latz A. Fluids of hard ellipsoids: Phase diagram including a nematic instability from Percus-Yevick theory. Phys. Rev. E. 1999;60:5865-5871.
- Singh RC, Ram J, Singh Y. Thermodynamically self-consistent integral-equation theory for pair-correlation functions of a molecular fluid. Phys. Rev. E. 1996;54:977-980.

- 19. Patra CN, Ghosh SK. Structure of nonuniform three-component fluid mixtures: A density-functional approach. J. Chem. Phys. 2003;118:3668-3677.
- 20. Moradi M, Khordad R. Direct correlation functions of binary mixtures of hard Gaussian overlap molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 2006;125:214504-214510.
- 21. DuBois S, Perera A. Entropy driven demixing in fluids of rigidly ordered particles. J. Chem. Phys. 2002;116:6354-6367.
- 22. Biben T, Hansen JP. Phase separation of asymmetric binary hard-sphere fluids. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1991;66:2215-2218.
- 23. Barrio C, Solana J R. Contact pair correlation functions and equation of state for additive hard disk fluid mixtures. J. Chem. Phys. 2001;115:7123-7129.
- 24. Moradi M, Taghizadeh F. Integral equation theory of a one dimensional hard-ellipse fluid between hard walls. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications. 2008;387:6463-6470.
- 25. Moradi M, Taghizadeh F. Structure of confined hard ellipses using molecular density functional theory. Int. J. Mod. Phys. C. 2009;20:337-349.
- 26. Tang Y, Wu J. Modeling inhomogeneous van der Waals fluids using an analytical direct correlation function. Phys. Rev. E. 2004;70:011201-011208.
- 27. Marko J F. First-order phase transitions in the hard-ellipsoid fluid from variationally optimized direct pair correlations. Phys. Rev. A. 1989;39:2050-2062.
- 28. Singh UP, Singh Y. Molecular theory for freezing of a system of hard ellipsoids: Properties of isotropic-plastic and isotropic-nematic transitions. Phys. Rev. A. 1986;33:2725-2734.
- 29. Ponievierski A, Stecki J. Statistical theory of elastic constants of nematic liquid crystals. Mol. Phys. 1979;38:1931-1940.
- 30. Phuong NH, Germano G, Schmid F. Elastic constants from direct correlation functions in nematic liquid crystals: a computer simulation study. J. Chem. Phys. 2001;115:7227-7234.
- 31. Avazpour A, Mahdavi V, Avazpour L. Effect of direct correlation functions on elastic constants of molecular liquids. Phys. Rev. E. 2010;82:041701-041709.
- 32. Avazpour A, Askari H, Gheisari R, Lotfi F. Calculation of bulk elastic constants of Gay-Berne nematic liquid crystals from new direct correlation and pair distribution functions. Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals. 2012;559:9-15.
- 33. Avazpour A. Calculation of surface elastic constants of a Gay- Berne nematic liquid crystal from new direct correlation and pair distribution functions. Liquid Crystals. 2012;39:1491-1497.
- 34. Ornestin LS, Zernike F. Accidental deviations of density and opalesence at the critical point of a single substance. Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam. 1914;17:793-806.
- 35. Percus JK, Yevick G. Analysis of Classical Statistical Mechanics by Means of Collective Coordinates. Phys. Rev. 1958;110:1-13.
- 36. Vega C, Lago S. A fast algorithm to evaluate the shortest distance between rods. Comput. Chem. 1994;18:55-59.

© 2013 Ghaffary et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=224&id=4&aid=1362