Asian Food Science Journal



14(1): 27-41, 2020; Article no.AFSJ.53846 ISSN: 2581-7752

### Comparative Study of the Nutritive and Bioactive Compounds of Three Cucurbit Species Grown in Two Regions of Côte d'Ivoire

N'Nan A. S. Diby<sup>1\*</sup>, Sylvie Assoi<sup>1</sup>, N'Guessan Y. Konan<sup>1</sup>, Adama Coulibaly<sup>1</sup> and Godi H. M. Biego<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Training and Research Unit of Biological Sciences, Peleforo Gon Coulibaly University, BP 1328, Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire.
<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Biochemistry and Food Sciences, Training and Research Unit of Biosciences, Felix Houphouët-Boigny University, 22 BP 582, Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire.
<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Hydrology and Toxicology, Training and Research Unit of

Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences, Felix Houphouët-Boigny University, BP 34 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author GHMB supervised the whole investigation. Author NASD designed the study, performed the experiment and wrote the manuscript assisted with authors SA and NYK. Authors NYK, NASD and SA performed the statistical analysis of the results and checked the revised manuscript. Author AC participated in interpretation of the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AFSJ/2020/v14i130121 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Uttara Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Foods and Nutrition, Govt. Home Science College, Panjab University, India. <u>Reviewers</u> (1) Esmat Anwar Abou Arab, National Research Center (NRC), Egypt. (2) Jacilene Silva, Faculty of Philosophy, State University of Ceará, Dom Aureliano Matos, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53846</u>

> Received 12 November 2019 Accepted 17 January 2020 Published 23 January 2020

**Original Research Article** 

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The objective of this work was to contribute to the valorization of 3 local cucurbit oilseeds species grown in 2 producing regions of Côte d'Ivoire, namely the Kabadougou and the Moronou. Thus, a comparative study on the main nutritive and bioactive compounds of these cucurbits was conducted.

**Methodology:** Mature dried seeds of *Citrullus lanatus* sp, *Lagenaria siceraria* Molina Standl, *and Cucumeropsis mannii* Naudin, locally named respectively *Wlewle, Bebou* and *Nviele,* were

collected in the 2 regions, dehulled and processed for analyses. Standard procedures of AOAC, AFNOR and FAO were used for the determination of the nutritive and bioactive compounds. **Results:** *Wlewle* species exhibited the highest content of lipids  $(51.07\pm1.32\%)$ , energy caloric value  $(584.05\pm4.13 \text{ kcal/})$ , polyphenols  $(141\pm23.97)$  and flavonoids  $(0.19\pm0.02)$  content. *Nviele* species had the highest protein  $(38.90\pm0.93\%)$  and reducing sugar  $(70.62\pm1.03 \text{ mg/100 g})$  content. *Bebou* species exhibited the highest ash content  $(3.91\pm0.38\%)$  and total soluble sugars  $(3.42\pm0.19\%)$ . Flavonoids content was similar for each species regardless of the region. Pearson's correlation analysis revealed (*p*< 0.01) that energy caloric and lipid were directly correlated but conversely with protein. Direct correlation was also observed between polyphenols and lipid content in *Wlewle* and *Nviele* varieties, while in the *Bebou*, this trend was observed with protein. Despite their small shape, *Wlewle* species provide higher caloric energy upon consumption.

Keywords: Cucurbitaceae; nviele; bebou; wlewle; nutritive compounds, bioactive substances.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Malnutrition is an important phenomenon affecting the whole world. In developing countries, about 1/3 of children are stunted and 5 to 15% are starving. Malnutrition is therefore a cause of disability, illness and mental and physical developmental delay, thus negatively impacts the socio-economic growth of countries [1]. The world's leading economists stated that one of the best investments countries could make would be investment in strategies to reduce malnutrition [2]. In 2000, the World Health Organization (WHO) set a goal to significantly reduce global food insecurity by 2015 [3]. Great progress was seen in Africa with a 30% drop in hunger from 1990 to 2015. However, this goal is far from being achieved due to many scourges [4]. Also, although food availability has increased, energy and protein intake are still below the levels recommended by FAO and WHO. This is partly due not only to poverty but also to lack of information on nutritional and economic importance of many local food products as well as their technological properties and their possible utilization in fortification programs. The 29<sup>th</sup> FAO Regional Conference for Africa, held on April 7, 2016 in Abidjan, called on quick actions by member countries to end food insecurity by 2025 [5].

Cucurbits are among the most economically important vegetables in the world. In West Africa, there are at least five Cucurbitaceae species regularly cultivated by the populations [6]. These plant species are of significant socio-economic importance in the life of sub-Saharan people, especially in Côte d'Ivoire where they are source of small-scale livelihood for female farmers. Locally spelled '*pistaches*", the cucurbit kernels are usually processed into soups, which are very prized for home consumption and during festivities [7]. Previous studies have shown the nutritional interests in some cucurbit kernels [6,8,9]. They are rich source of proteins and lipids, and could therefore be an asset in fortification programs, therefore increasing their use and valorization.

Legumes seeds, which constitute an essential part of human diet, are good sources of proteins, healthy lipids and bioactive phenolic compounds [10,11]. Knowing that the biochemical composition of foods is greatly affected by cultural practices and environmental conditions [12], the previous data onto nutritional values and bioactive compounds of *"pistaches"* seeds grown in Côte d'Ivoire should be updated. Data should be collected based on producing areas for a good screening and thus a better valorization.

This paper reports a comparative study on the main nutritive and bioactive contents of 3 local cucurbits species, namely *Citrullus lanatus, Lagenaria siceraria,* and *Cucumeropsis mannii* produced in Northern (Kabadougou) and Eastern (Moronou) regions of Côte d'Ivoire.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Plant Material

Mature and dried seeds of 3 cucurbit species *Citrullus lanatus* sp, *Cucumeropsis mannii* Naudin and *Lagenaria siceraria* Molina Standl; locally called *Wlewle*, *Nviele* and *Bebou* respectively; were used in this study (Fig. 1).

#### 2.1.1 Sampling

Seeds were collected from two sites of Côte d'Ivoire: in the Moronou region located in Eastern part and in the Kabadougou region in the North. Three (3) villages per region were considered. Per village, 3 kg of each seeds type were bought

from 3 different female farmers, thus, 9 samples per village and 27 per region. In total, 54 samples weighing 162 kg were collected in both regions. Upon arrival in the laboratory, cucurbits seeds were dehulled and a pool of 2 kg samples was dried and ground into powder. The resulting cucurbit flours were kept in airtight containers until use.

# 2.2 Determination of the Nutritive Compounds

Nutritive values were assessed by the determination of moisture, protein, lipid, carbohydrate and ash contents of all the samples using analytical grade chemicals and reagents from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO). Flours caloric energy values were also calculated. For each analysis, triplicate measurements were done.

#### 2.2.1 Moisture content of seeds

Moisture content of the collected samples was determined according to AOAC [13]. Briefly, 5 g of seeds were dried to a constant weight at 105°C into a laboratory oven (Memmert UN160) and moisture content was calculated as follows:

$$MC (\%) = \frac{Mass of evaporated water * 100}{Mass of the sample}$$

MC = Moisture content (%)

### 2.2.2 Lipid content

Fat was quantified by solvent extraction in a Soxhlet apparatus using hexane as solvent for 7 h [13]. After oil extraction, solvent was evaporated from flour samples, and the percentage of fat was calculated as follows:

Fat (%) = 
$$\frac{(W2 - W1) * 100}{W0}$$

With W0: the sample's weight; W1: the weight of empty flask; W2: the weight of the flask with the extracted fat.

### 2.2.3 Total protein

Total protein content was determined according to AOAC [13], using Kjeldhal method. One (1) g of flour was mineralized at  $400^{\circ}$ C for 2 h, with concentrated sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) in presence of potassium sulfate catalyst. The solution was cooled down and diluted with distilled water before distillation. A volume of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was added to an aliquot of the diluted solution and the mixture was distillated. The distillate was then collected in a flask containing boric acid and methylene bromocresol reagents and titrated for the total nitrogen with 0.1 N chlorine acid (HCl) till appearance of a light pink color. A factor of 6.25 was used to convert the total nitrogen into protein content according to the equation hereafter:

Protein content = Total nitrogen content (g/100 g) \* 6.25

#### 2.2.4 Ash content

Ash content was determined according to AOAC [14]. About 5 g of each flour was weighed into clean pre-weighed porcelain crucibles. The samples were transferred into a pre-heated muffle furnace and incinerated at 550°C for 24 hours. Total ash content was calculated as follows:

Ash content (%) = 
$$\frac{(W2 - W1) * 100}{W0}$$

With W0: the sample's weight; W1: the weight of the empty crucible; W2: the weight of the crucible with the ash

### 2.2.5 Total carbohydrates, total soluble and reducing sugars

Total carbohydrates were deduced from the contents of protein, moisture, lipid and ash as indicated by FAO [15] in the following formula:

$$TC(\%) = 100 - (Prot + Lip + Moi + Ash)$$

With TC, Prot, Lip, and Moi standing for total carbohydrate, protein, lipid and moisture contents

Ethanol- soluble sugar was extracted from 1 g of flour with 20 mL of 80% (v/v) ethanol, 2 mL of 10% (m/v) zinc acetate and 2 mL of 10% (m/v) oxalic acid. The extract was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min. The ethanol residue was evaporated from the extract upon a hot sand bath. The spectrophotometric method of Dubois [16] was used to determine the total soluble sugars of flour samples. It consisted in adding 0.9 mL of distilled water, 1 mL of 5% (m/v) phenol and 5 mL of 96% sulfuric acid into 100 µL of extract and then measuring the absorbance at 490 nm with a spectrophotometer (PG instruments). Reducing sugars of the samples were determined using the method of Bernfeld [17]. Briefly, 1 mL of extract was processed with 0.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 3, 5dinitrosalycilic acid. Absorbance of the final

solution was read at 540 nm using spectrophotometer (PG instruments). Calibrations curves were constructed using standard solutions of glucose and sucrose.

### 2.2.6 Caloric energy value

Caloric energy value of each flour was calculated using Atwater specific factors for legumes and nuts, related to the main macronutrients, especially protein, lipid and carbohydrate [15] as stated below:

CE (kcal/100 g) = (3.47 x Prot.) + (8.37 x Lip.) + (4.07 x Carb.)

With CE, Prot, Lip, Carb standing for Caloric Energy and content of protein, lipid, and total carbohydrate

### 2.2.7 Evaluation of the nutritive contribution

The contribution of the nutritive compounds was estimated according to the Codex Alimentarius method that considers the concentration in nutritive compounds recovered from the food and the daily consumption of that food by an adult [18].

Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) = C × Q

With: C, nutritive compound concentration measured; Q, food daily consumption [19].

# 2.3 Determination of Bioactive Compounds

### 2.3.1 Preparation of the methanolic extracts

A gram (1) g of dried and ground seeds was homogenized in 10 ml of 70% methanol. The mixture was centrifuged at 1000 rounds/min for 10 min and the supernatant collected. The pellet was re-suspended in another 10 ml of 70% methanol and centrifuged once again as previously done. Collected supernatants were pooled and the volume made up to 50 ml with deionized water. This methanolic extract was stored in an amber bottle and used for analysis.

### 2.3.2 Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

Total phenolic content was determined according to Folin–Ciocalteu spectrophotometric method described by Singleton, et al. [20]. In a test tube, a volume of 0.5 ml of the methanolic extract was added to 0.5 ml freshly prepared Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. The mixture was allowed to equilibrate for 3 min and then mixed with 0.5 ml of 20% sodium carbonate, and the volume made up to 5 ml with deionized water. The tube was incubated in the dark for 30 min and the absorbance read at 725 nm against a reagent blank.

Total phenolic content was determined using a standard curve of gallic acid, and the results was expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/100 g dry matter.

### 2.3.3 Total flavonoids content

Total flavonoids content was determined as previously described by Jia, et al. [21]. In a test tube, 0.5 mL of the methanolic extract was diluted with 0.5 ml deionized water. A volume of 0.5 ml of aluminium chloride (10% p/v) and 0.5 ml of 1 M sodium acetate were successively added to the tube. The mixture was completed with 2 ml of deionized water and then allowed to stand for 30 min at room temperature. The absorbance was quickly measured at 415 nm against the blank. Catechin was used as standard and the results were reported as mg of catechin equivalents (CE)/100 g of dry matter.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

All experiments were conducted in triplicates. Data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (SPSS 22.0, USA). Means were expressed with their Standard Deviation (SD) and compared using Student-Newman-Keuls and Least Significant



Fig. 1. Seeds of cucurbit varieties. A: Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl (Bebou); B: Citrullus lanatus sp (Wlewle); C: Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin (Nviele)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Moisture Content and Nutritive Compounds

Results for nutritive composition of the 3 cucurbits species collected from the Moronou and the Kabadougou are summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

### 3.1.1 Proximate analysis of the nutritive traits from cucurbits species

The overall comparison of the nutritive values of the 3 cucurbits species analyzed is summarized in Table 1. No significant difference ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) was observed in the moisture (2.68±0.53% to 3.04±0.13%) and the ash contents (3.28%±0.62 to 3.91%±0.38) of the 3 cucurbits species.

However, significant differences (p<0.001) in the lipid, protein, total carbohydrates, reducing sugars, total soluble sugars and total caloric energy contents of the 3 species were observed. Indeed, Wlewle species exhibited the highest lipid content (51.07±1.32%) but the lowest protein content (29.01±1.83%), while Nviele species showed the lowest lipid content (41.49±1.06%) but the highest protein content (38.90±0.93%). Both Wlewle and Nviele species recorded higher total carbohydrates content, with respective averages of 13.74±0.35% and 13.3±0.41%. But, only Nviele species exhibited the highest reducing sugars content (70.62±1.03 mg/100 g), whereas Bebou species was the most provided in total soluble sugars (3.42±0.19%). When consumed, Wlewle species provide higher Caloric Energy (584.05±4.13 kcal/100 g) (p<0.001) than Bebou (553.51±8.44 kcal/100 g) and Nviele (536.37±6.7 kcal/100 g) species.

### 3.1.2 Nutritive trend of cucurbits species by investigated region

The nutritive compounds of the 3 cucurbits consumed in both investigated regions are presented in Table 2. In each region, moisture content among species was significantly different (p<0.001). In the Moronou region, it varied from 2.47±0.12% (*Wlewle*) to 3.13±0.11% (*Nviele*)

and in the Kabadougou, it went from 2.29±0.75 % (*Nviele*) to 3.02%±0.13 (Wlewle).

Ash content oscillated between  $2.89\%\pm0.38$  % and  $3.00\pm0.33$  % in the Moronou and from 3.66% $\pm 0.31$  to  $3.93\%\pm0.31$  in the Kabadougou. But no significant difference (*p*≥0.05) was observed between the three species in each region, although a greater value was observed for *Bebou* in both regions.

Lipid content was statistically different for cucurbits species (P<0.001). In both sites *Wlewle* species exhibited the highest lipid content and *Nviele* species the lowest. Lipid content in *Wlewle* species was 49.88%±0.21 from Moronou and 52.25%±0.31 from Kabadougou, against respective values of 42.42%±0.17 and 40.56%± 0.45 for *Nviele* species.

Differences in protein content was also observed (p<0.001). In Moronou and Kabadougou, *Wlewle* had the lowest protein content (30.65%±0.42 and 27.38%±0.36) and Nviele the highest (39.71 and 38.09%±0.3).

Significant difference in carbohydrate contents was observed between species collected in the Moronou (P=0.04), with values varying from 12.30%±0.95 (*Bebou*) and 14%±0.27 (*Wlewle*). However, no obvious difference (P= 0.22) in carbohydrate contents of the samples was observed in the Kabadougou where values ranged from 12.45%±1.07 (*Bebou*) to 13.48±0.21 (*Wlewle*).

In both regions, reducing sugar content of species was statistically different. The highest reducing sugars content was obtained from *Nviele* (71.54 mg/100 g and 69.71 mg/100 g), and the lowest from *Bebou* (51.70 and 49.87 mg/100 g) as shown in Table 2.

Total soluble sugars content was also different (p<0.001) in Moronou and Kabadougou where the highest total soluble sugars contents was observed for *Bebou* species (3.60±0.0% and 3.24±0.01%) and the lowest for *Wlewle* species (2.01±0.0% and 2.06±0.0%).

Total caloric energy (EC) values calculated from the main caloric nutrients were significantly different from one species to another. In Moronou and Kabadougou, the highest EC value was noticed for *Wlewle* species (580.85±0.35 and 588.60±3.45 kcal /100 g) and the lowest for *Nviele* species (542.04±0.98 and 530.69±3.89 kcal/100 g).

| Species             | Moi (%)                | Ash (%)                | Lip (%)                 | Prot (%)                | Carb (%)                | RS (mg/100 g)           | TSS (%)                | EC (kcal/100g)           |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nviele              | 3.04±0.13 <sup>ª</sup> | 3.28±0.62 <sup>ª</sup> | 41.49±1.06 <sup>c</sup> | 38.90±0.93 <sup>a</sup> | 13.30±0.41 <sup>a</sup> | 70.62±1.03 <sup>ª</sup> | 2.37±0.03 <sup>b</sup> | 536.37±6.7 °             |
| Bebou               | 2.68±0.53 <sup>ª</sup> | 3.91±0.38 <sup>ª</sup> | 45.35±1.47 <sup>b</sup> | 35.62±1.23 <sup>b</sup> | 12.37±0.91 <sup>b</sup> | 50.79±1.03 <sup>c</sup> | 3.42±0.19 <sup>ª</sup> | 553.51±8.44 <sup>b</sup> |
| Wlewle              | 2.75±0.33 <sup>a</sup> | 3.33±0.46 <sup>ª</sup> | 51.07±1.32 <sup>a</sup> | 29.01±1.83 <sup>c</sup> | 13.74±0.35 <sup>ª</sup> | 64.96±0.67 <sup>b</sup> | 2.03±0.03 <sup>c</sup> | 584.05±4.13 <sup>a</sup> |
| F <sub>-value</sub> | 2.080                  | 3.004                  | 83.081                  | 79.805                  | 7.833                   | 730.916                 | 241.595                | 78.743                   |
| P <sub>-value</sub> | 0.159                  | 0.080                  | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                 | 0.005                   | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                | < 0.001                  |

Table 1. General values of the nutritive compounds from cucurbit species

Table 2. Nutritive compounds of 3 cucurbits consumed in 2 regions of Côte d'Ivoire

| Regions | Species             | Moi (%)                | Ash (%)                 | Lip (%)                 | Prot (%)                | Carb (%)                 | RS (mg/100 g)           | TSS (%)                | EC (kcal/100g)           |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|         | Nviele              | 3.13±0.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.89±0.3 <sup>a</sup>   | 42.42±0.17 <sup>c</sup> | 38.09±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 13.47±0.48 <sup>ab</sup> | 71.54±0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 2.39±0.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 542.04±0.98 <sup>c</sup> |
| n       | Bebou               | 3.06±0.05 <sup>a</sup> | 3.89±0.5 <sup>a</sup>   | 44.02±0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 36.73±0.22 <sup>b</sup> | 12.30±0.95 <sup>b</sup>  | 51.70±0.25 <sup>°</sup> | 3.60±0.0 <sup>ª</sup>  | 545.93±1.79 <sup>b</sup> |
| ou      | Wlewle              | 2.47±0.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.00±0.3 <sup>a</sup>   | 49.88±0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 30.65±0.42 <sup>c</sup> | 14.00±0.27 <sup>a</sup>  | 64.37±0.24 <sup>b</sup> | 2.01±0.0 <sup>c</sup>  | 580.85±0.35 <sup>ª</sup> |
| oro     | F <sub>-value</sub> | 37.008                 | 5.214                   | 1302.372                | 454.871                 | 5.645                    | 5585.572                | 1,35*10 <sup>8</sup>   | 956.569                  |
| Ĕ       | P <sub>-value</sub> | < 0.001                | 0.049                   | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                 | 0.042                    | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                | < 0.001                  |
| no      | Nviele              | 2.94±0.05 <sup>a</sup> | 3.66±0.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 40.56±0.45 <sup>c</sup> | 39.71±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 13.12±0.32 <sup>a</sup>  | 69.71±0.31 <sup>a</sup> | 2.34±0.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 530.69±3.89 <sup>c</sup> |
| obr     | Bebou               | 2.29±0.75 <sup>♭</sup> | 3.93±.0.31 <sup>a</sup> | 46.67±0.29 <sup>b</sup> | 34.52±0.29 <sup>b</sup> | 12.45±1.07 <sup>a</sup>  | 49.87±0.27 <sup>°</sup> | 3.24±0.01 <sup>ª</sup> | 561.09±1.61 <sup>b</sup> |
| lop     | Wlewle              | 3.02±0.13 <sup>ª</sup> | 3.66±0.31 <sup>a</sup>  | 52.25±0.31 <sup>ª</sup> | 27.38±0.36 <sup>c</sup> | 13.48±0.21 <sup>a</sup>  | 65.55±0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 2.06±0.0 <sup>c</sup>  | 588.60±3.45 <sup>ª</sup> |
| bai     | F <sub>-value</sub> | 28.229                 | 0.394                   | 796.614                 | 1146.062                | 1.939                    | 5174.570                | 102611.487             | 242.161                  |
| Ka      | P-value             | 0.001                  | 0.690                   | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                 | 0.224                    | < 0.001                 | < 0.001                | < 0.001                  |

Means±SD with the same superscripts are not different at 5% significance for each nutritive trait (Table 1) or per region and nutritive trait (Table 2). Moi: moisture content; Ash: ash content; Lip: lipid content; Prot: protein content; Carb: total carbohydrates content; RS: reducing sugars content; TSS: total soluble sugars content; EC: total caloric energy. Wlewle: Citrullus lanatus sp; Nviele: Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin; Bebou: Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl; F<sub>-value</sub>: value of the statistical Fischer test; P<sub>-value</sub>: value of the statistical probability

| Species | Regions   | Moisture<br>(%)   | Ash (%)   | Lipid (%)  | Protein (%)  | Carbohyd.<br>(%)   | Red Sugars<br>(mg/100 g)  | TSS (%)   | EC (kcal/100g)  |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| Nviele  | Moronou<br><i>Kabadougou</i><br>F <sub>-value</sub>                 | 3.13±0.11 <sup>a</sup><br>2.94±0.05 <sup>a</sup><br>6.850           | 2.89±0.38 <sup>a</sup><br>3.66±0.61 <sup>a</sup><br>3.469<br>0.136  | 42.42±0.17 <sup>a</sup><br>40.56±0.45 <sup>b</sup><br>44.550             | 38.09±0.3 <sup>b</sup><br>39.71±0.3 <sup>a</sup><br>44.433               | 13.47±0.48 <sup>a</sup><br>13.12±0.32 <sup>a</sup><br>1.100<br>0.353 | 71.54±0.21 <sup>a</sup><br>69.71±0.31 <sup>b</sup><br>720058          | 2.39±0.0 <sup>a</sup><br>2.34±0.0 <sup>b</sup><br>32793.185             | 542.04±0.98 <sup>a</sup><br>530.69±3.89 <sup>b</sup><br>23.881          |
| Bebou   | F-value<br>Moronou<br>Kabadougou<br>F-value<br>P-value              | 3.06±0.08 <sup>a</sup><br>2.29±0.7 <sup>b</sup><br>48.027<br>0.002  | 3.89±0.51 <sup>a</sup><br>3.93±.0.31 <sup>a</sup><br>0.017<br>0.903 | 44.02±0.19 <sup>b</sup><br>46.67±0.29 <sup>a</sup><br>174.173<br>< 0.001 | 36.73±0.22 <sup>b</sup><br>34.52±0.29 <sup>a</sup><br>112.159<br>< 0.001 | 12.30±0.95 <sup>a</sup><br>12.45±1.07 <sup>a</sup><br>0.032<br>0.866 | 51.70±0.25 <sup>a</sup><br>49.87±0.27 <sup>b</sup><br>74.864<br>0.001 | 3.60±0.0 <sup>a</sup><br>3.24±0.01 <sup>b</sup><br>11137.604<br>< 0.001 | 545.93±1.79°<br>561.09±1.61 <sup>a</sup><br>118.290<br>< 0.001          |
| Wlewle  | Moronou<br>Kabadougou<br>F <sub>-value</sub><br>P <sub>-value</sub> | 2.47±0.12 <sup>b</sup><br>3.02±0.13 <sup>a</sup><br>29.686<br>0.006 | 3.00±0.33 <sup>a</sup><br>3.66±0.31 <sup>a</sup><br>6.420<br>0.064  | 49.88±0.21 <sup>b</sup><br>52.25±0.31 <sup>a</sup><br>120.736<br><0.001  | 30.65±0.42 <sup>a</sup><br>27.38±0.36 <sup>b</sup><br>106.153<br>0.001   | 14.00±0.27 <sup>a</sup><br>13.48±0.21 <sup>a</sup><br>6.903<br>0.058 | 64.37±0.24 <sup>b</sup><br>65.55±0.14 <sup>a</sup><br>52.965<br>0.002 | 2.01±0.0 <sup>b</sup><br>2.06±0.0 <sup>a</sup><br>40029.185<br><0.001   | 580.85±0.35 <sup>b</sup><br>588.60±3.45 <sup>a</sup><br>10.111<br>0.034 |

Table 3. Impact of the region on the nutritive compounds of cucurbit species

For each cucurbit species, means±SD with the same superscripts are not different at 5% significance per nutritive parameter. Moi: moisture content; Ash: ash content; Lip: lipid content; Prot: protein content; Carb: total carbohydrates content; RS: reducing sugars content; TSS: total soluble sugars content; EC: total caloric energy. Wlewle: Citrullus lanatus sp; Nviele: Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin; Bebou: Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl; F.value of the statistical Fischer test; P.value of the statistical probability

# 3.1.3 Effect of the selected regions on the nutritive compounds of the 3 cucurbit species

Data showing the impact of the geographical location on the nutritive values of the investigated cucurbits species are recorded in Table 3.

### 3.1.3.1 Nviele species (Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin)

Moisture, ash and total carbohydrate contents of *Nviele* species collected from Moronou were not different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) from that obtained from Kabadougou. But, samples from the Moronou region exhibited statistically higher lipid, soluble sugars, reducing sugars and caloric energy contents than that from the Kabadougou region. Oppositely, lower protein content was found in *Nviele* from Moronou compared to Kabadougou.

### 3.1.3.2 Bebou species (Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl)

Species from Moronou contained higher moisture content (p<0.05) and were statistically richer in reducing sugar and total soluble sugars than species collected from Kabadougou. However, lipid, protein, and caloric energy contents were statistically higher for species collected in Kabadougou. Ash and Carbohydrate contents of species from both regions were statistically equivalent.

### 3.1.3.3 Wlewle species (Citrullus lanatus sp)

Compared to the Moronou region, species from Kabadougou exhibited higher contents of moisture, lipid, reducing sugars, total soluble sugars and caloric energy (p<0.05). But the samples collected from Moronou were, in the contrary, much richer in protein. Ash and carbohydrate contents of samples collected from both regions were not different (p>0.05).

# 3.2 Bioactive Compounds in Cucurbits Species

### 3.2.1 Trend of the polyphenol compounds in cucurbit species

The overall comparison of the polyphenols parameters is summarized in the 1<sup>st</sup> part of Table 4.

*Wlewle* species were statistically richer (P<0.01) in total polyphenols content (141.56±23.97 mg

Diby et al.; AFSJ, 14(1): 27-41, 2020; Article no.AFSJ.53846

GAE/100 g DM) and flavonoids content (0.19±0.02 mg CE/100 g DM) than *Nviele* and *Bebou* species.

# 3.2.2 Total polyphenols and flavonoids contents of cucurbit species per region

Total polyphenols and total flavonoids contents of the cucurbit samples collected from the Moronou and the Kabadougou were statistically different (P<0.05) as shown in Table 4.

Polyphenols content of samples collected from Moronou varied from  $106.5\pm1.07$  (*Bebou*) to  $124.16\pm1.44$  mg GAE/100 g DM (*Nviele*). Flavonoids content was between  $0.10\pm0.01$  mg CE/100 g (*Bebou*) and  $0.19\pm0.03$  mg CE/100 g (*Wlewle*).

In the Kabadougou region, the lowest polyphenols content was found in *Bebou* seeds (79.3 mg GAE/100 g extract), whereas the highest content was obtained in *Wlewle* seeds (163.4 mg GAE/100 g) (P<0.001). Flavonoids values varied (P= 0.006) from 0.10±0.01 mg CE/100 g (*Bebou*) to 0.19±0.03 mg CE/100 g DM (*Wlewle*).

### 3.2.3 Effect of the selected regions on the bioactive compounds of cucurbits species

Impacts of the geographical region on the bioactive compounds of the 3 curcubit species is presented in Table 5. Data revealed higher total polyphenols for *Nviele* (124.16±1.44 mg GAE /100 g DM) and *Bebou* (106.5±1.07 mg GAE /100 g DM) collected in the Moronou region whereas the Kabadougou region recorded the greatest total polyphenols value in *Wlewle* samples (163.4±1.81 mg GAE /100 g DM).

### 3.3 Multivariate Description of the Cucurbit Samples

Fig. 2 shows the main correlations of the cucurbits samples (A) and the nutritive compounds (B) investigated within the F1-F2 factorial design of the principal components analysis (PCA); both factors (components) assuming 84.85% of the total variability.

Component F1 displayed an eigen-value of 5.62 and expresses 56.25% of total variance, while component F2 recorded eigen-value of 2.86 for 28.60% of total variance. Four correlations types were observed between samples and nutritive traits. Indeed, higher protein and total soluble sugars contents were provided by *Bebou* species from Moronou region, whereas the ash content was higher in *Bebou* species from Kabadougou region. In addition, the lipid, carbohydrate, caloric energy, total polyphenols and flavonoids contents were greater in *Wlewle* species from both regions (Wk and Wm), while the moisture and reducing sugars were higher in *Nviele* species (Nk and Nm).

# 3.4 Correlations between the Studied Variables

Correlations between the biochemical characteristics of the cucurbit samples have also been evaluated by the Pearson r index. Table 6 provides a general trend for all the samples analyzed. Significant r values, with p-values of 0.01, were considered over [±0.60]. Thus, the caloric energy was directly correlated with lipid content (r= 0.992) but conversely with the protein content (r=-0.981), both macromolecules running in opposite trend (r =- 0.991). This means that cucurbit poor in protein will contain higher amount of lipid and provide high energy calorie upon consumption.

Also, a positive correlation (r=0.68) was observed between flavonoid content and both lipid and carbohydrate meaning that a cucurbit rich in lipid and carbohydrate will contain high amount of flavonoids. Total polyphenols and flavonoids contents record similar trend (r= 0.676), and both were negatively correlated to the protein and total soluble sugars contents (-0.82 < r < -0.60).

Pearson's correlation of *Nviele* and *Wlewle* followed the general trend except *Bebou* where a negative correlation was observed between lipid and polyphenol content.

### 3.5 Estimated Intakes

Based on their nutritive values, an estimated daily intake of cucurbit seeds was calculated based on a 2000-calorie diet for adult female and on a 2500-calorie diet for adult male (Table 7). Valued contributions were obtained for a daily consumption of a cup (~150 g) of cucurbits seeds.

The studied cucurbits are rich in lipid and protein, but poor in carbohydrate. For a female, the consumption of a cup ( $\sim$ 150 g) of each species

will cover at least 94% of the lipid requirement; more than 100% for protein, and 40% of the total energy requirement. For a male, at least 76% of lipid, 83% of protein and 32% of energy calorie requirements will be covered.

### 3.6 Discussion

Three (3) local cucurbits, *Nviele, Bebou* and *Wlewle*, were collected from two producing regions, the Moronou and the Kabadougou. Nutritive and bioactive compounds were assessed and compared according to cucurbit species and regions of production.

Irrespective of the regions and species, moisture content of the 3 cucurbits was around 3%. Differences observed in each region could be ascribed to morphological variations of the seeds, and also to seed maturity stage, drying methods and climatic conditions [12]. Cucurbits moisture content were below the standard moisture value (8%) suggested by FAO [22] for suitable preservation of grains and seeds. Since moisture content is an index of storage stability, it could be inferred a great stability of cucurbit seeds during storage. Indeed, the lower the moisture content of the seeds, the better their stability, and therefore their qualities. This low moisture content demonstrated the use of good post-harvest treatments (drying) by the farmers. Despite the existing difference in the climate of the two regions (Moronou and Kabadougou), the residual moisture content of each cucurbit species did not differ by regions. These results show that women farmers in both regions were able to overcome the climate constraints for a lower final moisture in the products. Moisture contents varying from 3 to 8% have been reported [6,8,23].

The type of species and the geographical location did not affect (p≥0.05) the ash content of cucurbits. although, higher values were consistently found with the Bebou species. Ash values between 1.5 and 4% for the 3 studied species have been previously reported by authors [8,24,25]. Such ash contents were comparable to that reported for peanut (3.94±0.1%) [25], but lower than 7.1-9.1% reported for soybean [26]. Cucurbit seeds are quite rich in lipids (40-52%) and are reported as oleaginous seeds. Compared to conventional oilseeds, cucurbit lipid content is twice higher than the lipid content of soy (18-20%) and 13 times higher than that of corn (3.1-5.7%) [27]. Regardless of the region, Wlewle species exhibited higher lipid content than the others and *Nviele* species the lowest, confirming the statement about the effect of plant species and varietal divergences on oilseeds lipid amount. Environmental effect on oil content of seeds was also observed by Achu, et al. [23]. They reported higher oil content with seeds collected from the high savanna and swamp forest. This was in a close agreement with results reported here for species collected from Kabadougou region (high savanna). Moreover, N'Guetta et al. [8] stated

that oil content could also be affected by the varieties and cultivars, especially from *Wlewle* species. Oil content ranging from 30 to 60% was found during researches conducted on African cucurbit seeds. In this study, direct correlation (r = 0.992) was observed between the lipid content and the caloric energy value of seeds.

Protein content of seeds was between 27 and 38% with lower values for *Wlewle* and higher for *Nviele*. Such seeds are characterized as

| Regions   | Species             | Total polyphenols (mg GAE /100 g DM) | Total flavonoids (mg CE /100 g<br>DM) |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|           | Nviele              | 110.52±14.99 <sup>b</sup>            | 0.14±0.02 <sup>b</sup>                |
| es        | Bebou               | 92.92±1.07 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.10±0.01 <sup>c</sup>                |
| ne<br>Iue | Wlewle              | 141.56±23.97 <sup>a</sup>            | 0.19±0.02 <sup>ª</sup>                |
| Se<br>va  | F <sub>-value</sub> | 10.69                                | 32.76                                 |
| U         | P <sub>-value</sub> | 0.001                                | < 0.001                               |
| -         | Nviele              | 124.16±1.44 <sup>a</sup>             | 0.14±0.03 <sup>b</sup>                |
| 10(       | Bebou               | 106.5±1.07 <sup>°</sup>              | 0.10±0.01 <sup>c</sup>                |
| JO.       | Wlewle              | 119.72±1.44 <sup>b</sup>             | 0.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>                |
| 101       | F <sub>-value</sub> | 143.157                              | 17.528                                |
| 2         | P <sub>-value</sub> | < 0.001                              | 0.003                                 |
| no        | Nviele              | 96.88±1.12 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.12±0.01 <sup>b</sup>                |
| obn       | Bebou               | 79.34±0.99 <sup>c</sup>              | 0.11±0 <sup>b</sup>                   |
| ōp        | Wlewle              | 163.4±1.81 <sup>a</sup>              | 0.19±0.03 <sup>a</sup>                |
| pa        | F <sub>-value</sub> | 3215.017                             | 13.719                                |
| Xa        | P                   | < 0.001                              | 0.006                                 |

Table 4. Total polyphenols and flavonoids contents of cucurbits species

Values with different superscript letters (a, b, c) within the same column are statistically different at 5% significance. GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; CE: Cathecin equivalent; DM: dry matter; Wlewle: Citrullus lanatus sp; Nviele: Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin; Bebou: Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl; F<sub>-value</sub>: value of the statistical Fischer test; P<sub>-value</sub>: value of the statistical probability

| Table 5. | Trend in tota | l polyphenols | and flavonoi | ds contents o | of the 3 | 3 cucurbits | species |
|----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------|
|          |               | from          | investigated | regions       |          |             |         |

| Species | Regions             | Total polyphenols (mg GAE /100 g DM) | Total flavonoids(mg<br>CE /100 g DM) |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Nviele  | Moronou             | 124.16±1.44 <sup>a</sup>             | 0.14±0.03 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | Kabadougou          | 96.88±1.12 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.12±0.01 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | F <sub>-value</sub> | 669.196                              | 0.781                                |
|         | P <sub>-value</sub> | <0.001                               | 0.427                                |
| Bebou   | Moronou             | 106.5±1.07 <sup>a</sup>              | 0.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | Kabadougou          | 79.34±0.99 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.11±0.01 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | F <sub>-value</sub> | 1041.972                             | 4.000                                |
|         | P <sub>-value</sub> | <0.001                               | 0.116                                |
| Wlewle  | Moronou             | 119.72±1.44 <sup>a</sup>             | 0.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | Kabadougou          | 163.4±1.81 <sup>ª</sup>              | 0.19±0.03 <sup>a</sup>               |
|         | F <sub>-value</sub> | 1069.481                             | 0.125                                |
|         | P <sub>-value</sub> | <0.001                               | 0.742                                |

For each cucurbit species, values with different superscript letters (a, b, c) within the same column are statistically different at 5% significance. GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; CE: Catechin equivalent; DM: dry matter; Wlewle: Citrullus lanatus sp; Nviele: Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin; Bebou: Lagenaria siceraria Molina Standl; F. value: value of the statistical Fischer test; P<sub>-value</sub>: value of the statistical probability

|        | Moi    | Ash    | Lip    | Prot   | Carb   | RS     | TSS    | EC    | Polyph | Flav |  |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------|--|
| Mois   | 1      |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |      |  |
| Ash    | -0.108 | 1      |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |      |  |
| Lip    | -0.330 | -0.004 | 1      |        |        |        |        |       |        |      |  |
| Prot   | 0.265  | 0.011  | -0.991 | 1      |        |        |        |       |        |      |  |
| Carb   | -0.056 | -0.732 | 0.265  | -0.336 | 1      |        |        |       |        |      |  |
| RS     | 0.454  | -0.532 | -0.157 | 0.077  | 0.588  | 1      |        |       |        |      |  |
| TSS    | -0.076 | 0.495  | -0.344 | 0.406  | -0.711 | -0.840 | 1      |       |        |      |  |
| EC     | -0.367 | -0.114 | 0.992  | -0.981 | 0.356  | -0.114 | -0.392 | 1     |        |      |  |
| Polyph | 0.484  | -0.256 | 0.586  | -0.656 | 0.452  | 0.494  | -0.610 | 0.572 | 1      |      |  |
| Flav   | -0.056 | -0.384 | 0.631  | -0.679 | 0.677  | 0.516  | -0.817 | 0.667 | 0.677  | 1    |  |

#### Table 6. Matrix of pearson correlation r of biochemical parameters of the 3 studied cucurbits

Moi: moisture content; Ash: ash content; Lip: lipid content; Prot: protein content; Carb: total carbohydrates content; Flav: total flavonoids content; Polyp: total polyphenols content; RS: reducing sugars content; TSS: total soluble sugars content; EC: total caloric energy

### Table 7. Estimated daily intake of nutritive and bioactive compounds from the consumption of 150 g (1 cup) of Nviele, Bebou and Wlewle for adults

|        | Lipid   |     |       | Protein |     |       | Carbohydrate |     | Ash P |     | Poly | Polyphenols |     | Energy value |      |          |       |
|--------|---------|-----|-------|---------|-----|-------|--------------|-----|-------|-----|------|-------------|-----|--------------|------|----------|-------|
| Women  | Species | DRI | VC    | %C      | DRI | VC    | %C           | DRI | VC    | %C  | DRI  | VC          | DRI | VC           | DRI  | VC       | %C    |
| (2000  |         |     | g/day | /       |     | g/day | /            | g   | /day  |     | g/   | day         | m   | ng/day       |      | Kcal/day | /     |
| kcal   | Nviele  |     | 61.5  | 94.62   |     | 57    | 135.71       |     | 19.5  | 6.5 |      | 4.92        |     | 165          |      | 804      | 40.2  |
| diet   | Bebou   | 65  | 67.5  | 103.85  | 42  | 52.5  | 125          | 300 | 18    | 6   | -    | 5.87        | -   | 138          | 2000 | 829.5    | 41.47 |
| based) | Wlewle  |     | 76.5  | 116.92  |     | 43.5  | 103.57       |     | 19.5  | 6.5 |      | 5           |     | 211.5        |      | 876      | 43.8  |
| Men    | Species | DRI | VC    |         | DRI | VC    |              | DRI | VC    |     | DRI  | VC          | DRI | VC           | DRI  | VC       |       |
| (2500  | -       |     | g/day | /       |     | g/day | /            |     | g/day |     | g/   | day         | m   | ng/day       |      | Kcal/day | /     |
| kcal   | Nviele  |     | 61.5  | 76.87   |     | 57    | 109.61       |     | 19.5  | 5.2 |      | 4.92        |     | 165          |      | 804      | 32.16 |
| diet   | Bebou   | 80  | 67.5  | 84.38   | 52  | 52.5  | 100.96       | 375 | 18    | 4.8 | -    | 5.87        | -   | 138          | 2500 | 829.5    | 33.18 |
| based) | Wlewle  |     | 76.5  | 95.63   |     | 43.5  | 83.65        |     | 19.5  | 5.2 |      | 5           |     | 211.5        |      | 876      | 35.04 |

DRI: Dietary Recommended Intake; VC: Valued contribution: requirement for a sedentary female or male; %C: percentage of valued contribution



Fig. 2. Correlations between the F1-F2 factorial design from the principal components analysis (PCA) of the samples (A) and main nutritive components (B) of the studied cucurbit species *Wk: Wlewle of Kabadougou region, Wm: Wlewle from Moronou region; Nm: Nviele from Moronou region; Nk: Nviele from Kabadougou region; Bm: Bebou from Moronou region; Bk: Bebou from Kabadougou region; Mo: moisture content; Ash: ash content; Lip: lipid content; Prot: protein content; Carb: total carbohydrates content; Flav: total flavonoids content; Polyp: total polyphenols content; RS: reducing sugars content; TSS: total soluble sugars content; EC: total caloric energy* 

proteagineous. Significant difference was also observed between regions by species.

As for lipid, effect of variety and maturity stage on protein content of cucurbits seeds have been reported [6] about *Lagenaria siceraria* (*Bebou*). The fact that lipid and protein contents were oppositely correlated (r = -0.991) may explain why the *Wlewle* species had the lowest protein content. There seems to be a compensatory effect between protein and lipid biosynthesis. Generally, in protein-storing seeds, there is a

negative correlation between protein and oil accumulation [28]. This fact has been well documented in soybean [29,30,31,32].

Because of the high protein content of the analyzed cucurbits seeds, they could be an alternative source of dietary proteins. They could then be used, like peanut, as protein/aminoacids supplements in therapeutic foods in areas facing wars and/or calamities, or in African countries like Côte d'Ivoire where much of the populations lives on starchy foods.

Carbohydrate content of the cucurbit samples was not affected by the nature of the species and by the area of collection. Carbohydrates are known to be primary metabolites for crops, showing that the studied cucurbits account comparable photosynthesis and respiration trends in any region. The compensatory effect between protein and lipid biosynthesis did not influence the total carbohydrates pool.

Regarding bioactive compounds, the study revealed that polyphenols contents of the cucurbits were affected by both the species type and the area of collect. Higher polyphenols contents were found in *Wlewle* and lower in *Bebou*.

Moderate direct correlation was noticed between polyphenols and lipid content (r = 0.586), while an opposite correlation was found between polyphenols and protein content (r = -0.656).

Kamda, et al. [33] found lower phenolics in *L.* siceraria than in *C. mannii* and *C. lanatus* species grown in Cameroon. This agrees with results reported in like species in this study. Several parameters could influence the level of secondary metabolites in plants. Studies showed that, not only extrinsic factors (geographic and climatic conditions), and intrinsic factors (genetics), but also the degree of maturity and the post-harvest processes (drying conditions and storage period of seeds) can have great impact on total phenolics content, even in the same plant species [34,35].

Flavonoids are the most common and abundantly distributed group of plant phenolic compounds, which usually are very effective antioxidants [36,37]. Flavonoids contents varied from 0.10 $\pm$ 0.01 to 0.19 $\pm$ 0.03 mg CE/100 g of sample. A similarity (*p*≥0.05) in the flavonoids contents was remarked for each species independently of the region (*p*≤0.05). In both

regions, *Wlewle* species had the highest values  $(0.19\pm0.03 \text{ mg CE}/100 \text{ g of matter})$  and *Bebou* species the lowest. However equivalent content was observed for *Bebou* and *NViele*. These results suggested that flavonoids contents were more dependent on intrinsic or genetic factors (species) than on environmental or extrinsic factors. Lipid (r = 0.631) and carbohydrate contents (r = 0.677) were directly correlated with flavonoids contents.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study assessed the nutritive and bioactive compositions of three cucurbits from two producing regions of Côte d'Ivoire. With their high lipid and protein contents, cucurbit seeds can be classified as oleo-proteaginous seeds. Their biochemical compositions were influenced by the geographic location, the maturity stage and the seed variety. Based on our results and data from previous studies, a daily consumption of a cup of these seeds could cover at least 50% of lipid and 40% of protein requirements and energy calorie. These seeds are an asset in the fight against protein-energy malnutrition and/or in protein fortification programs.

But, before cucurbit seeds can be used as ingredients for the fortification of several local products or in the food industry, a good knowledge of the effect of some treatments (germination, fermentation, roasting, etc.) on their physico-chemical, functional and technofunctional properties is required.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- WHO J, Consultation FE. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. WHO technical report series. 2003;916.
- Bouis HE, Welch RM. Biofortification—A sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. Crop Science. 2010;50 (Supplement 1):S-20-S-32.
- 3. WHO. Millenium Development Goals (MDGs); 2002.
- 4. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). The state of Food Insecurity in the World. Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome; 2012.

- African Development Fund. Feed Africa: Strategy For Agricultural Transformation In Africa 2016-2025; 2016.
- Loukou AL, Lognay G, Barthelemy JP, Maesen P, Baudoin JP, Zoro BIA. Effect of harvest time on seed oil and protein contents and compositions in the oleaginous gourd Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2011;91(11):2073-2080.
- 7. Zoro BI, IA, Koffi KK, Djè Υ. Caractérisation botanique et agronomique de trois espèces de cucurbites consommées en sauce en Afrique de l'Ouest: Citrullus sp., Cucumeropsis mannii Naudin et Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl. Biotechnologie, agronomie, société et environnement. 2003;7(3-4):189-199.
- N'guetta AMN, Digbeu YD, Binaté S, Kouadio JPENG, Dabonné S, Dué EA. Fatty acid composition and physicochemical properties of four varieties of citrullus lanatus seed oils cultivated in Côte d'Ivoire. Biotechnology Journal International. 2015a;140-147.
- N'Goran N'guetta Anne Marie, Due Ahipo Edmond, Fankroma MT, Koné, N'Guessan EJP, Kouadio, Zoro Bi Irie Arsène, Kouamé L. Patrice. Physico-chemical properties and mineral composition of four cultivar seed flours from *Citrullus lanatus* (Cucurbitaceae) cultivated in Côte d'Ivoire. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2015b;6(1):45-33.
- Magalhães SC, Taveira M, Cabrita AR, Fonseca AJ, Valentão P, Andrade PB. European marketable grain legume seeds: Further insight into phenolic compounds profiles. Food Chemistry. 2017;215:177-184.
- Singh B, Singh JP, Kaur A, Singh N. Phenolic composition and antioxidant potential of grain legume seeds: A review. Food Research International. 2017;101:1-16.
- Nyam KL, Tan CP, Lai OM, Long K, Man YC. Physicochemical properties and bioactive compounds of selected seed oils. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 2009; 42(8):1396-1403.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Ed., Washington DC, USA; 1990.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) Official method, 923.03, Ash in flours. In, Official methods of analysis of

the association of official analytical chemists (17th ed). Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC International ; 2000.

- 15. FAO. Food energy methods of analysis and conversion factors. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper. 2002;77:93.
- Dubois MK. Use of phenol reagent for the determination of total sugar. Anal. Chem. 1956;28:350.
- Bernfeld P. Amylases, α & β. In: S. P. Colowick and NP. Kaplan (eds.), Methods of Enzymology. 1:149-158. Academic Press, New York ; 1955.
- AJR. Directive 2008/100/CE. Les apports journaliers recommandés pour les vitamines et les minéraux; 2008.
- 19. U.S. Department of. Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Nutritive Value of Foods. 2002;52-55.
- 20. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventos RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrats and antioxydants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Methods. Enzymol. 1999;299: 152-178.
- Jia Z, Tang M, Wu J. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. Food Chemistry. 1999;64:555–559.
- FAO. L'après-récolte des grains organisation et techniques. Bulletin des services agricoles de la fao. Organisation Des Nations Unies Pour L'alimentation Et L'agriculture, Rome; 1992.
- Achu MB, Fokou E, Tchiégang C, Fotso M, Tchouanguep MF. Chemical characteristics and fatty acid composition of cucurbitaceae oils fron Cameroon. In 13th World Congress of Food Science & Technology. 2006;26-26.
- 24. Steiner-Asiedu M, Nuro-Ameyaw P, Agbemafle I, Hammond SH, Tano-Debrah K. Nutrient composition and protein quality of four species of the Curcubitaceae family; 2014.
- Loukou AL, Gnakri D, Djè Y, Kippré AV, Malice MJPB, Baudoin JP, Bi IA. Macronutrient composition of three cucurbit species cultivated for seed consumption in Côte d'Ivoire. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007;6(5).
- Sharma S, Kaur M, Goyal R, Gill BS. Physical characteristics and nutritional composition of some new soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) genotypes. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;51(3):551-557.

- O'Brien DJ, Senske GE, Kurantz MJ, Craig Jr JC. Ethanol recovery from corn fiber hydrolysate fermentations by pervaporation. Bioresource Technology. 2004;92(1):15-19.
- Borek S, Pukacka S, Michalski K, Ratajczak L. Lipid and protein accumulation in developing seeds of three lupine species: *Lupinus luteus* L., Lupinus albus L. and Lupinus mutabilis Sweet. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2009; 60(12):3453-3466.
- 29. Shannon JG, Wilcox JR, Probst AH. Estimated gains from selection for protein and yield in the F4 generation of six soybean populations. Crop Science. 1972; 12:824–826.
- Wilcox JR, Shibles RM. Interrelationships among seed quality attributes in soybean. Crop Science. 2001;41:11–14.
- Chung J, Babka HL, Graef GL, Staswick PE, Lee DJ, Cregan PB, Shoemaker RC, Specht JE. The seed protein, oil, and yield QTL on soybean linkage group I. Crop Science. 2003;43:1053–1067.
- Lardizabal K, Effertz R, Levering C, Mai J, Pedroso MC, Jury T, Aasen E, Gruys K, Bennett K. Expression of Umbelopsis

ramanniana DGAT2A in seed increases oil in soybean. Plant Physiology. 2008;148: 89–96.

- 33. Kamda AGS, Fokou E, Loh MBA, Raducanu D, Kansci G, Ifrim I, Lazar I. Protective effect of edible cucurbitaceae seed extract from cameroon against oxidative stress. Environmental Engineering and Management Journal. 2014;13(7):1721-1727.
- Bruneton J. Pharmacognosie, phytochimie des plantes médicinales, 3eme édition, Tec et Doc; 1999.
- Aganga AA, Mosase KW. Tannin content, nutritive value and dry matter digestibility of Lonchocarpus capassa, Zizyphus mucronata, Sclerocarya birrea, Kirkia acuminata and Rhus lancea seeds. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2001; 91(12):107-113.
- Ismail HI, Chan KW, Mariod AA, Ismail M. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of cantaloupe (*Cucumis melo*) methanolic extracts. Food Chemistry. 2010;119(2): 643-647.
- Yanishlieva-Maslarova NV. Inhibiting Oxidation J, Pokorny N, Yanishlieva, Gordon M. Antioxidant in Food; 2001.

© 2020 Diby et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53846