

The Impact of Ethical Climate on Creative Problem - Solving Capacity: An Empirical Study on Human Resource Employees in Saudi Arabia

Soad Abdullah Almeshal¹ and Ghada Nasser Aloud^{2*}

¹*Department of Marketing, College of Business Administration, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.*

²*College of Business Administration, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.*

Authors' contributions

The paper was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author SAA was the research supervisor of author GNA. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEMT/2019/v24i130155

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Neelam Rani, Assistant Professor, Indian Institute of Management Shillong, Mayurbhanj Complex, Nongthymmai, India.

Reviewers:

(1) Wasantha Rajapakshe, Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Sri Lanka.

(2) Ahmad Rasmi Albattat, Management and Science University, Malaysia.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49473>

Original Research Article

Received 27 March 2019

Accepted 06 June 2019

Published 12 June 2019

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to determine the impact of ethical climate on creative problem-solving capacity. The paper also aims to identify how knowledge sharing and affective commitment influence this relationship.

Design/Methodology/Approach: This is quantitative descriptive study applied on human resource employees working in companies belong to four different sectors in Saudi Arabia. 115 responses were used to test research model using Partial Least Square approach.

Findings: The results reveal that ethical climate indirectly affect creative problem-solving capacity through knowledge sharing. In addition, affective commitment moderates the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity.

Research Limitations/Implications: The study conducted only in Saudi Arabia so results cannot be generalized. The study demonstrates the role of ethical climate, knowledge sharing, and affective commitment on enhancing creative problem-solving capacity.

Originality/Value: The paper broaden knowledge on antecedents of creative problem-solving capacity. In addition, the paper extends the line of research on affective commitment by examining its moderating role on the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ghadaaloud@gmail.com;

Keywords: Ethical climate; creative problem-solving capacity; knowledge sharing; affective commitment.

1. INTRODUCTION

The establishment of ethical work climate is significant for every organization and employee [1]. Researchers have underlined the significance of examining such climate due to its important influence on the attitudes and behavior of employees as well as on organizational results [1]. Organization capability to create competitive edge is mostly depend on knowledge foundations and individuals' creative problem-solving capacity [2]. Creative problem-solving importance is obvious in a set of situations like in the workplace, interpersonal relationship as well as in education settings, and this what is make it a more viable effective measure for creativity [3]. The most crucial and essential component of creativity is knowledge [4]. Knowledge sharing is a mean to promote gaining an access to knowledge [5]. Moreover, affective committed employees considered as critical source for organization as it has been revealed that they provide several advantages for their organizations [6].

1.1 Research Objectives

The research is developed with three main aims:

- To examine the impact of ethical climate on employees' creative problem-solving capacity.
- To identify the effect of knowledge sharing on relationship between ethical climate and creative problem-solving capacity.
- To investigate how affective commitment can influence the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity.

1.2 Problem Statement

In Saudi Arabia, human resource experts consider human resource as a key strategy for enhancing the performance of organization [7]. However, due to worldwide integration, human resource function is under stress to be more agile, flexible, inventive, and results mainly concentrate on certain fields of recruiting, selecting, training, compensation, professions, health and occupational safety [8]. Thus, the present study would apply on human resource employees in Saudi Arabia to identify the bond

between ethical climate and creative problems solving capacity, as well as the influence of knowledge sharing and affective commitment on this relationship.

1.3 Importance of Study

The current study is developed to broadening knowledge on antecedents of creative problem-solving capacity. Prior research has identified the role of internal and external knowledge sharing on creative problem-solving capacity [9]. However, relatively little to known about how ethical climate would affect both knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity. Moreover, the researchers were interested in shedding a light on the role of affective commitment in affecting the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity. In the sections that follow, the researchers provide a review of the relevant literature and present several sets of hypotheses. Then, explains the methodology and present the statistical results. Lastly, discuss the findings, implications, and limitations of this study.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Background

Drawing on social exchange theory, it is expected to find a link between employees' perception of ethical work climate and their creative problem-solving capacity. Fein and others [10 p. 148] Point out that due to influence of ethical climate on one's "emotional-psychological state", such climate affects the organization's trust feelings as well as "perceptions of organizational support". According to Wikhamn and Hall [6 p. 57], when employees react to "perceived organizational support", the reciprocity used to give an interpretation of favorable outcomes.

2.2 Ethical Climate

Ethical climate subject attracted the interest of business ethics' scholars [11]. It affects decision-making and the consequent behavior in dealing with moral issues [12]. Victor and Cullen [13 p. 101] define ethical climate as "the prevailing perceptions of typical organizational practices and procedures that have ethical content".

According to Robbins and Judge [14] ethical climate evolves as an element of the organizational climate and reflects the shared notion of appropriate and inappropriate behavior in particular place of work. Ethical climate theory of Victor and Cullen identified nine ethical climate's categories which are: "self-interest, company profit, efficiency, friendship, team interest, social responsibility, personal morality, company rules/ procedures, and laws/professional codes" [15 p. 327]. However, five from these nine types had been confirmed to be exist, which has called "caring, law and code, rules, instrumental, and independence" [16 p. 726]. Ethical leadership is viewed as essential for developing an ethical climate by fostering other ethical resources in direct and indirect ways [17]. Shin [11] finds a positive association between CEO ethical leadership and ethical climate. Moreover, Rubel and others [18] find negative relationship between ethical climate and turnover intention.

2.3 Knowledge Sharing

Ipe [19 p. 341] define knowledge sharing as "the act of making knowledge available to others within the organization". Knowledge sharing is the most significant stage in knowledge management [20]. Knowledge sharing helps in the growth of different organizational abilities like innovation and creativity that are critical for organizational effectiveness [21]. In addition, Kuo and others [22] have reported that knowledge sharing has important positive impact on service innovation. Van den Hooff and de Leeuw van Weenen [23] identifies two processes of knowledge sharing, which are donating knowledge and collecting knowledge. Knowledge donating indicates sharing with others the individual's intellectual capital, whereas knowledge collecting means benefiting from the intellectual capital of others [23]. It has been considered that knowledge sharing is not merely involve willingness to transfer information, but it involves also a willingness to assist recipient in internalizing information to enhance one's creative act [24]. Zhu and others [25] point out that when knowledge is shared, knowledge sharers and knowledge receivers are involved in learning process, which contribute in the depth and breadth of their current knowledge, which in turn improve their performance appraising. Zhu and others [25] found that knowledge deepness and broadness are increased greatly for knowledge sharer than for knowledge receiver. In addition, Carmeli and others [9] found that both

leadership and knowledge sharing assist significantly in promoting creative problem-solving capacity.

2.4 Creative Problem-solving Capacity

Creative problem solving refers to "the production of high-quality and original solutions in response to complex, novel, ill-defined problems" [26 p. 230]. Creative problem solving consists of idea generation phase and implementation phase [27]. Within each phase, numbers of core processes exist [27]. Problem identification and construction, relevant information identification, new idea generation processes belong to idea generation phase while idea evaluation and solution implementation are part of implementation phase [27]. According to Carmeli and others [9] involvement in core creative problem-solving processes is called creative problem-solving capacity. Within creative problem solving, independent solution is developed by way of reflection instead of learning with help [2]. Considerable and purposeful cognitive processing is needed for creative problem solving [27]. Mumford and others [28] point out that creative problem solving necessitates obtaining and arranging of problem relevant information. Fellows and professional colleagues are commonly sources for getting information particularly problem related information [29]. Carmeli and others [9] found that creative problem solving is positively related to creative performance-originality and fluency.

2.5 Affective Commitment

Affective commitment defined as "identification with, involvement in, and emotional attachment to the organization." [30 p. 253]. It is an organizational commitment component that shown to have the highest correlations to job behaviors and performance when compared to other organizational commitment components which are continuance and normative commitment [31]. In addition, it has been argued that affective commitment is associated positively to individual desire to exert more work effort and it could be expected that this type of commitment is associated with the desire to provide and get knowledge [23]. Poon [31] found positive relationship between affective commitment and work engagement. According to Choi and others [32] as employees with affective commitment enjoy being employed in organization and have desire to sustain their job within it, they appreciate tasks assigned to them and have

positive work view. This in turn, makes them more interested to devote to the work, improve their performance, and remain in the organization [32]. Affective commitment entails positive emotional feelings [33]. It has been proposed that positive emotions and feelings reinforce employees' awareness and behaviors, which lead to new and creative ideas [32]. However, intense feeling of connection to organization could restrain flexibility [33] and might lead to excessive confidence of former policies and procedures and fixation of conventional practices [34,33]. Moreover, it has been stated and empirically proven by many researchers that such feelings could increase exposure to possibly negative impacts of job requirements and stressors and consequently reduce one's probability to effectively deal with these obstacles [33].

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

3.1 Research Proposed Model

The research proposed model is shown in figure 1.

3.2 Research Hypotheses

The proposed model is tested with the following hypotheses:

H1. Ethical climate has a significant statistical impact on creative problem-solving capacity.

H2. Ethical climate has an important statistical influence on creative problem-solving capacity via the mediating role of knowledge sharing.

H3. Affective commitment has an important statistical impact on the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Data Collection and Sampling Framework

Using random sampling method, data were collected from human resource employees who work in Saudi Arabia for companies that belong to any of these sectors: materials, education, health, or information technology sector. Participants were taken part in the study using online questionnaire that distributed, in both Arabic and English languages, through social network platforms' messages and posts.

Respondents informed about main purpose of study. Screening questions were employed to ensure that who pass these questions were only the intended respondents. 342 responses were received. However, only 124 responders passed screening questions and 115 responses were valid for study.

4.2 Data Collection Instrument

Except for creative problem-solving capacity, all scales were measured on 5-point likert-scale range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Ethical climate scale, measured with 7 items, is adopted from Schwepker, Qualls and Puto [35,36]. A 3-items of knowledge sharing scale is adopted from Kim and Lee [37]. Affective commitment scale, which consists of 3 items, is adopted from Ouakouak and Ouedraogo [21]. Creative problem-solving capacity is rated on five-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (to a large extent) and consist of 8 items. This scale is adopted from Carmeli and others [9] and it was developed "based on Reiter-Palmon and Illies (2004) conceptualization" [9 P. 101]. Some items were modified to fit with study context. The original scales were in English language then translated into Arabic. The scales then translated back to English. In addition, number of professionals in business field reviewed the scales to ensure content validity. The scales and demographic questions that used in this study are shown in the Appendix.

4.3 Statistical Analysis Techniques

The data were analyzed through Partial Least Squares (PLS) using Smart PLS 3 software. This approach was adopted for both measurement and structural model testing.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Demographics

Sample demographic information was summarized in Table 1. Participants (i.e. human resource employees) were working in companies belong to four different sectors. Thirty-one percent of participants were from materials sector, twenty-five percent were from information technology sector, twenty-five percent were from health sector and eighteen percent were from education sector. Most respondents were male; they composed 71.3 percent of whole study sample. With respect to education, the majority

of participants were highly educated. 72.2 percent of respondents hold bachelor degree followed by 18.3 percent hold master degree and above. Almost half of participants were in age

between 30-39 years old (49.6 percent) and more than half of participants were with work experience ranging from one to ten years (58.3 percent).




Fig. 1. Research proposed model

Table 1. Sample characteristics

| Demographic | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender | Male | 82 | 71.3 |
| | Female | 33 | 28.7 |
| Age | 20-29 | 35 | 30.4 |
| | 30-39 | 57 | 49.6 |
| | 40-49 | 20 | 17.4 |
| | More than 50 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Educational level | High School degree | 2 | 1.7 |
| | Diploma Degree | 9 | 7.8 |
| | Bachelor Degree | 83 | 72.2 |
| | Master Degree and Above | 21 | 18.3 |
| Experience | Less than 1 year | 2 | 1.7 |
| | 1-5 | 37 | 32.2 |
| | 6-10 | 30 | 26.1 |
| | 11-15 | 23 | 20.0 |
| | 16-20 | 12 | 10.4 |
| | 21 Years and Above | 11 | 9.6 |
| Sector | Information Technology | 29 | 25.2 |
| | Education | 21 | 18.3 |
| | Basic Materials | 36 | 31.3 |
| | Health | 29 | 25.2 |

Note: N=115

Table 2. Results of reliability assessments

| Construct / Variable | CR | Cronbach's α | AVE |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Ethical Climate | 0.936 | 0.920 | 0.676 |
| Knowledge Sharing | 0.781 | 0.778 | 0.652 |
| Affective Commitment | 0.915 | 0.861 | 0.783 |
| Creative Problem-Solving Capacity | 0.962 | 0.955 | 0.761 |

Table 3. Summary of hypotheses testing results

| Path (Hypothesis) | Standard deviation | T-statistics | P value | Result |
|---|--------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| Direct path | | | | |
| H1 Ethical Climate → Creative Problem-Solving Capacity | 0.105 | 1.505 | 0.133 | Not Supported |
| Mediating path | | | | |
| H2 Ethical Climate → Knowledge Sharing → Creative Problem-Solving Capacity | 0.037 | 1.984 | 0.048 | Supported |
| Moderating path | | | | |
| H3 Affective Commitment ↓ Knowledge Sharing → Creative Problem-Solving Capacity | 0.069 | 1.976 | 0.049 | Supported |




Fig. 2. PLS results of hypotheses testing for the research model

5.2 Measurement Model

Reliability and validity indicators were used to examine measurement model. Reliability, which indicates the measurement's internal consistency, was measured using composite reliability (CR), average variance extracted (AVE), and Cronbach's α . As shown in Table 2 above, reliability for all constructs/variable is acceptable. Cronbach's α for all constructs were exceed 0.6 which is accepted. AVE, which is ranging from 0.65 to 0.78, is greater than acceptance value of 0.50. Composite reliability (CR) of all constructs/variable exceeds the value of 0.7. In addition, convergent validity was estimated using factor loading. Results of each item factor loading, mean and standard deviation are shown in appendix. One item of knowledge sharing was dropped because of the low factor loading and AVE. However, all other items' factor loading is greater than 0.6, which indicate satisfactory convergent validity.

5.3 Structural Model

The hypothesized model was tested using Bootstrapping procedure in Smart PLS software. Fig. 2 shows P-value for all construct/variable. Detailed results of hypotheses testing were reported in Table 3 above. The results show that statistically significant impact of ethical climate on creative problem-solving capacity proposed in first hypothesis was not supported ($P = 0.133$, $P \geq 0.05$). However, the second hypothesis that suggests that ethical climate has an important statistical influence on creative problem-solving capacity via the mediating role of knowledge sharing was supported ($P = .048$, $P \leq 0.05$). As shown in Fig. 2 above ethical climate can explain 8% of variance in knowledge sharing. Moreover, affective commitment has important statistical impact on the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity ($P = .049$, $P \leq 0.05$). Therefore, the third hypothesis was supported. The result indicates that 44% of the variance in creative problem-solving capacity is explained by affective commitment and knowledge sharing.

5.4 Discussion

The present study examined how ethical climate directly and indirectly predict creative problem-solving capacity. Unlike our expectations, ethical climate was unrelated to creative problem-solving capacity. This may due to the nature of creative problem-solving capacity that reside on

one's own capability. Creative problem solving necessitates extensive and complex cognitive processing [2]. Fluency and originality are the most frequent gauged elements of creative problem solving [38]. Fluency indicates the amount of ideas one's is capable to produce and originality indicates the relative novelty of every idea produced [38]. Moreover, the results demonstrated that ethical climate indirectly influence creative problem-solving capacity through knowledge sharing behavior. Previous study found that knowledge sharing mediates the relationship between business ethics diffusion and service innovation [24]. Another study found that organizational ethical climate positively related to favorable attitude and engagement in knowledge management of the organization [15]. In addition, Carmeli and others [9] found in first study that both internal and external knowledge sharing has positive relationship with creative problem-solving capacity. However, in second study, Carmeli and others [9] found that only internal knowledge sharing has positively significant relationship with creative problem solving. According to Yeh and others [4] knowledge sharing is the most significant component of creative behaviors and it is regarded as essential part of knowledge management systems for all organizations. The study also examines the moderating role of affective commitment on the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity. The finding suggests that effective commitment is a key element in strengthens the relationship between knowledge sharing and creative problem-solving capacity. Prior study had found that affective commitment tends to significantly positively affect employees' creativity [39].

6. CONCLUSION

The present research extends the line of studies on creative problem-solving capacity. The study sheds light toward role of ethical climate in fostering knowledge sharing behavior among human resource employees thereby enhance creative problem-solving capacity. The findings also indicated that when affective commitment was high, knowledge sharing is strongly associated with human resource employees' creative problem-solving capacity.

7. IMPLICATION AND RECOMMENDATION

Human resources management contributes significantly for successfulness of any

organization [18]. Thus, it is recommended that top management and business leaders, specifically who lead in companies in materials, health, education and information technology sectors, enhance human resource employees' creative problem-solving capacity by embracing ethical climate within their companies. Ethical climate contributes to knowledge sharing. In other word, ethical climate paves the way for knowledge sharing among human resource employees, consequently promote their capabilities to solve work-related problem creatively. Moreover, top management and business leaders recommended seeking ways to get more affective committed employees. Affective committed employees increase the likelihood that knowledge sharing will contribute in enhancing human resource employees' creative problem-solving capacity.

8. LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

The study applied only on four sectors in Saudi Arabia (i.e. materials, health, education and information technology), which bounded the findings to these sectors in Saudi Arabia, thus, results could not be generalized to other countries. The study also was narrow in scope. Future research may try exploring other antecedents of creative problem-solving capacity. In addition, the present study adopts ethical climate as a construct, future study is recommended to tie specific type of ethical climate to creative problem-solving capacity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for financing the study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. De Coninck JB. The effects of ethical climate on organizational identification, supervisory trust, and turnover among salespeople. *Journal of Business Research*. 2011; 64(6): 617–624.
2. Carmeli A, Sheaffer Z, Binyamin G, Reiter-Palmon R, Shimoni T. Transformational leadership and creative problem-solving: The mediating role of psychological safety and reflexivity. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*. 2014; 48(2):115-135.
3. Royston R, Reiter-Palmon R. Creative self-efficacy as mediator between creative mindsets and creative problem-solving. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*. 2017; 0(0):1-10.
4. Yeh Y, Yeh Y, Chen Y. From knowledge sharing to knowledge creation: A blended knowledge-management model for improving university students' creativity. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*. 2012; 7(3):245–257.
5. Luu T. Knowledge sharing and competitive intelligence. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. 2014;32(3):269-292.
6. Wikhamn W, Hall AT. Social exchange in a Swedish work environment. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. 2012;3(23).
7. Ramlall S, Al-Amri H, Abdulghaffar N. Human resource management in Saudi Arabia. *The International Business & Economics Research Journal*. 2012;11 (10);1155-1162.
8. Coda R, Coda DA. Strategic performance of the human resources management department: An exploratory research on the implications from the behavioral styles of its professionals. *Brazilian Business Review*. 2014;11(4):107-129.
9. Carmeli A, Gelbard R, Reiter-Palmon R. Leadership, creative problem-solving capacity and creative performance: The importance of knowledge sharing. *Human Resource Management*. 2013;52(1):95-122.
10. Fein EC, Tziner A, Lusky L, Palachy O. Relationships between ethical climate, justice perceptions, and LMX. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 2013;34(2):147-163.
11. Shin Y. CEO ethical leadership, ethical climate, climate strength and collective organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2012;108(3):299-312.
12. Hung Y, Tsai T, Wu Y. The effects of ethical work climate on organizational commitment in Taiwanese military units. *Chinese Management Studies*. 2015; 9(4):664-680
13. Victor B, Cullen JB. The organizational bases of ethical work climates.

- Administrative Science Quarterly. 1988; 33(1):101–125.
14. Robbins SP, Judge TA. Organizational behavior. 16th ed. Pearson Education Limited; 2015.
 15. Tseng F, Fan Y. Exploring the influence of organizational ethical climate on knowledge management. Journal of business ethics. 2011;101(2):325-342.
 16. Yener M, Yaldiran M, Ergun S. The effect of ethical climate on work engagement. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2012;58:724-733.
 17. Beeri I, Dayan R, Vigoda-Gadot E, Werner SB. Advancing ethics in public organizations: The impact of an ethics program on employees' perceptions and behaviors in a regional council. Journal of Business Ethics. 2013;112(1):59-78.
 18. Rubel MRB, Kee DMH, Quah CH, Rimi NN. Ethical climate and employee turnover intention in the ready-made garment industry of Bangladesh. Global Business and Organizational Excellence. 2017;36(2):61-73.
 19. Ipe M. Knowledge sharing in organizations: A conceptual framework. Human Resource Development Review. 2003;2(4):337-359.
 20. Giampaoli D, Ciambotti M, Bontis N. Knowledge management, problem solving and performance in top Italian firms. Journal of Knowledge Management. 2017;21(2):355-375.
 21. Ouakouak ML, Ouedraogo N. Fostering knowledge sharing and knowledge utilization: The impact of organizational commitment and trust. Business Process Management Journal; 2018.
 22. Kuo Y, Kuo T, Ho L. Enabling innovative ability: Knowledge sharing as a mediator. Industrial Management & Data Systems. 2014;114(5):696-710.
 23. Van den Hooff B, de Leeuw van Weenen F. Committed to Share: Commitment and CMC use as antecedents of knowledge sharing. Knowledge and Process Management. 2004;11(1):13-24.
 24. Wu C. The relationship between business ethics diffusion, knowledge sharing and service innovation. Management Decision. 2016;54(6):1343-1358.
 25. Zhu Y, Chiu H, Infante Holguin-Veras EJ. It is more blessed to give than to receive: Examining the impact of knowledge sharing on sharers and recipients. Journal of Knowledge Management. 2018;22(1): 76-91.
 26. Hardy III JH, Ness AM, Mecca J. Outside the box: Epistemic curiosity as a predictor of creative problem solving and creative performance. Personality and Individual Differences. 2017;104:230-237.
 27. Reiter-Palmon R, Illies JJ. Leadership and creativity: Understanding leadership from a creative problem-solving perspective. The Leadership Quarterly. 2004;15(1):55-77.
 28. Mumford MD, Whetzel DL, Reiter-Palmon R. Thinking creatively at work: Organization influences on creative problem solving. Journal of Creative Behavior. 1997;31(1):7-17.
 29. Mumford MD, Martin R, Elliott S, McIntosh T. Creative failure: Why can't people solve creative problems. Journal of Creative Behavior. 2018;1-17.
 30. Allen NJ, Meyer JP. Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: An examination of construct validity. Journal of Vocational Behavior. 1996;49(3):252-276.
 31. Poon JM. Relationships among perceived career support, affective commitment, and work engagement. International Journal of Psychology. 2013;48(6):1148-1155.
 32. Choi SB, Tran TBH, Park BI. Inclusive leadership and work engagement: Mediating roles of affective organizational commitment and creativity. Social Behavior and Personality. 2015;43(6):931-944.
 33. Montani F, Battistelli A, Odoardi C. Proactive goal generation and innovative work behavior: The moderating role of affective commitment, production ownership and leader support for innovation. The Journal of Creative Behavior. 2017;51(2):107-127.
 34. Randall DM. Commitment and the organization: The organization man revisited. Academy of Management Review. 1987;12:460-471.
 35. Schwepker Jr CH. Ethical climate's relationship to job satisfaction, organizational commitment and turnover intention in the salesforce. Journal of Business Research. 2001;54(1):39-52.
 36. Qualls WJ, Puto CP. Organizational climate and decision framing: An integrated approach to analyzing industrial buying decisions. Journal of Marketing Research. 1989;26(2):179 – 92.
 37. Kim S, Lee H. The impact of organizational context and information technology on

- employee knowledge-sharing capabilities. Public administration review. 2006;66(3); 370-385.
38. Dumas D, Schmidt LC, Alexander PA. Predicting creative problem solving in engineering design. Thinking Skills and Creativity. 2016;21:50-66.
39. Semedo AS, Coelho A, Ribeiro N. The relationship between authentic leaders and employees' creativity: What are the roles of affective commitment and job resourcefulness?. International Journal of Workplace Health Management. 2018;11(2):58-73.

APPENDIX

Results for factor loading, mean and standard deviation

| Construct / variable | Item | Factor loading | Mean | SD |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Ethical climate | EC1 | 0.783 | 3.809 | 1.426 |
| | EC2 | 0.888 | 3.652 | 1.272 |
| | EC3 | 0.811 | 3.696 | 1.365 |
| | EC4 | 0.884 | 3.678 | 1.276 |
| | EC5 | 0.855 | 3.826 | 1.353 |
| | EC6 | 0.766 | 4.183 | 1.213 |
| | EC7 | 0.758 | 3.878 | 1.326 |
| Knowledge sharing | KS1 | 0.964 | 4.322 | 0.983 |
| | KS3 | 0.718 | 3.165 | 1.312 |
| Affective commitment | AC1 | 0.876 | 3.783 | 1.284 |
| | AC2 | 0.897 | 3.817 | 1.191 |
| | AC3 | 0.880 | 2.896 | 1.36 |
| Creative problem-solving capacity | CPSC1 | 0.869 | 3.809 | 1.038 |
| | CPSC2 | 0.854 | 3.826 | 1.015 |
| | CPSC3 | 0.884 | 3.913 | 0.965 |
| | CPSC4 | 0.888 | 3.983 | 0.978 |
| | CPSC5 | 0.921 | 3.974 | 0.955 |
| | CPSC6 | 0.892 | 3.913 | 1.009 |
| | CPSC7 | 0.837 | 3.861 | 1.037 |
| | CPSC8 | 0.832 | 3.843 | 1.001 |

QUESTIONNAIRE

A) Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements, where: 1 = strongly disagree; 2= disagree; 3 = neither agree nor disagree; 4= agree; 5= strongly agree.

Ethical Climate

| Code | Statements | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 EC ₁ | My company has a formal, written code of ethics. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 EC ₂ | My company strictly enforces a code of ethics. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 EC ₃ | My company has policies with regards to ethical behavior. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 EC ₄ | My company strictly enforces policies regarding ethical behavior. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 EC ₅ | Top management in my company has let it be known in no uncertain terms that unethical behaviors will not be tolerated. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 EC ₆ | If human resource employee in my company is discovered to have engaged in unethical behavior that results primarily in <i>personal gain</i> (rather than corporate gain), she or he will be promptly reprimanded. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 EC ₇ | If human resource employee in my company is discovered to have engaged in unethical behavior that results in primarily <i>corporate gain</i> (rather than personal gain), she or he will be promptly reprimanded. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Knowledge sharing

| | Code | Statements | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | KS ₁ | I voluntarily share my know-how, information, and knowledge with other employees. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | KS ₂ | I cooperate or communicate with other employees in teams or groups for sharing information and knowledge. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | KS ₃ | I can freely access documents, information, and knowledge held by other departments within the company. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Affective commitment

| | Code | Statements | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | AC ₁ | I have a strong desire to work with my company. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | AC ₂ | I have a positive emotional attachment to my company. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | AC ₃ | Even if I had other better job opportunities, I would want to work with my company. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Creative problem-solving capacity

B) Please indicate with the following statements the extent to which you possess capabilities to solve problem creatively, where: 1 = not at all 2= to a small extent 3= to some extent 4= to a moderate extent 5 = to a large extent.

| | Code | Statements | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | CPSC ₁ | Capability to define work problems creatively (problem definition and construction). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | CPSC ₂ | Skill to creatively articulate work problems (problem definition and construction). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | CPSC ₃ | Ability to generate novel ideas to solve work problems (idea generation). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | CPSC ₄ | Capability to suggest creative solutions to work problems (idea generation). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | CPSC ₅ | Capability to appreciate what ideas are best for solving work problems (idea evaluation). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | CPSC ₆ | Capability to choose the optimal solution for a specific work problem (idea evaluation) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 | CPSC ₇ | Capability to effectively implement novel ideas chosen to solve a specific work problem (idea implementation). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8 | CPSC ₈ | Capability to implement the chosen creative solution to solve a specific work problem (idea implementation). | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Demographic Questions

▪ **Gender**

- Male
- Female

▪ **Age**

- 20-29
- 30-39
- 40-49
- 50 and above

▪ **Level of experience**

- Less than one year
- 1-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11-15years
- 16-20 years
- 21 years and above

▪ **Education Level**

- High school degree
- Diploma degree
- Bachelor degree
- Master degree and above

© 2019 Almeshal and Aloud; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49473>